Genetic Variability and Character Association Studies in Germplasm Lines of Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (Linn.) Moench]

R. Divya¹, G. Somu², H. C. Lohithaswa³, L. Vijaykumar⁴ and H. R. Raveendra⁵

¹Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, V.C. Farm, Mandya - 571 405
 ²AICRP on Sorghum, K.V.K., Haradanahalli Farm, Chamarajanagara - 571 127
 ³AICRP on Pegionpea, University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, Bengaluru- 560 065
 ⁴College of Agriculture, V.C. Farm, Mandya - 571 405; ⁵AICRP on Small Millets, ZARS, V.C. Farm, Mandya - 571 405
 e-Mail : divyakalya579@gmail.com

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted to evaluate sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench] germplasm lines raised in simple lattice design during the *kharif* 2020. Genetic variability and character association were studied for thirteen morphological traits *viz.*, days to 50 per cent flowering, days to maturity, plant height, number of leaves, leaf length, leaf width, stem diameter, panicle length, panicle width, panicle weight, test weight, number of primary branches per panicle and seed yield per plant. High heritability coupled with high genetic advance as per cent of mean and high GCV were noticed for plant height, panicle width, panicle weight, test weight, number of primary branches per panicle and seed yield per plant. Seed yield per plant showed a significant positive correlation with number of leaves, leaf width, stem diameter, panicle width, panicle weight and number of primary branches per panicle. Characters like number of leaves, panicle width, panicle weight and test weight exerted positive direct effect and had a significant positive correlation with seed yield per plant at both genotypic and phenotypic level. So, simple selection based on these characters would be rewarding.

Keywords : Genetic variability, Correlation, Path analysis, Sorghum germplasm

ORGHUM [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] belongs to the family Poaceae is a native to north-eastern Africa, where there is still a lot of variation in wild and cultivated forms (Harlan and De Wet, 1972). Because of its drought and heat adaptability, sorghum is popularly referred to as 'camel crop' in hot and arid environments. With a global annual production of 57.6 million tonnes (Anonymous, 2017), it is the world's fifth most prominent cereal crop after wheat, rice, maize and barley in terms of production and utilization. Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Maharashtra, Gujarat, Madhya Pradesh and Rajasthan are among India's leading sorghum-growing states. Karnataka accounts for 46 per cent of the total sorghum production, with 65 per cent of the total area during rabi season. Major sorghum growing areas of Karnataka include Bijapur, Bagalkot, Belgaum, Gulbarga, Raichur, Chamarajanagara and Mysore.

The identification of variability among accessions is pivotal for the maintenance and utilization of germplasm resources (Mwirigi *et al.*, 2009). Systematic study and evaluation of germplasm is of great importance for current and future agronomic and genetic improvement of the crop. Genetic variability studies give information about the genetic features of a population, on which breeding approaches for the improvement of the crop are developed. Because heredity is influenced by the environment, heritability information alone may not be sufficient to pin point the features enforcing selection hence, the heritability estimates will be more trust worthy when it is coupled with the expected genetic advance (Johnson *et al.*, 1955).

Study of correlation between different quantitative characters provides an idea of association between yield attributing characters. Association of characters like yield, its components and other economical traits is important for making selection in the breeding programme. It suggests the advantage of a scheme of selection for more than one character at a time (Kalloo, 1994). The path coefficient technique developed by Wright (1921) helps in estimating direct and indirect contribution of various components in building up the total correlation towards yield. Thus, the present study was conducted to assess the genetic variability, correlation and path analysis with respect to various important quantitative traits in germplasm lines of sorghum.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Experimental Material and Layout

The experimental material included 81 germplasm lines including three checks *viz.*, CSV 23, CSV 27 and Gundlupet local from AICRP on sorghum, KVK, Haradanahalli Farm, Chamarajanagara. Geographically the experimental site was situated in southern dry zone (zone-6) of Karnataka between 11° 88'N latitude, 76° 95'E longitude and 721 m above mean sea level. These germplasm lines were planted in the plots of three-meter row length with two replications under simple lattice design during *kharif* 2020. The spacing followed was 45 cm between the rows and 15 cm between plants. Observations were recorded on five competitive plants in each genotype in each replication for days to 50 per cent flowering, days to maturity, plant height (cm), number of leaves, leaf length (cm), leaf width (cm), stem diameter (mm), panicle length (cm), panicle width (cm), panicle weight (g), test weight (g), number of primary branches per panicle and seed yield per plant (g). The mean values were subjected to statistical analysis using WINDOSTAT 8.5 software.

REULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of variance of thirteen quantitative traits revealed that the variance due to treatments (genotypes) was highly significant for all the traits studied indicating the presence of substantial amount of variations among the genotypes under study which can be exploited for selection. Phenotypic variance was greater than genotypic variance for all the traits.

	vai	rious quai	ntitative ch	aracters			
Characters	Mean		Range	Coeffie Variati	cient of ion (%)	H(BS)(%)	GAM(%)
	N.N.	Min.	Max.	PCV(%)	GCV(%)		
Days to 50 per cent flowering	64.00	50.50	79.00	13.34	13.12	96.70	26.57
Days to maturity	99.14	84.00	115.00	9.93	9.75	96.40	19.73
Plant height (cm)	212.28	80.75	322.25	39.20	39.10	99.46	80.32
Number of leaves	8.91	5.50	13.50	25.77	18.50	51.60	27.44
Leaf length (cm)	75.18	55.20	93.50	13.63	13.47	97.70	27.44
Leaf width (cm)	6.81	5.20	8.85	15.69	11.29	51.80	16.74
Stem diameter (mm)	15.01	10.09	19.92	19.09	17.35	82.60	35.50
Panicle length (cm)	23.34	15.13	31.00	17.74	16.63	87.90	32.13
Panicle width (cm)	5.85	3.75	8.55	23.49	22.97	95.60	46.25
Panicle weight (g)	62.15	37.50	89.38	29.04	28.78	98.20	58.74
Test weight (g)	2.39	1.75	3.75	27.66	23.69	73.30	41.80
Number of primary branches per panicle	60.80	41.00	87.50	23.39	23.27	98.20	47.69
Seed yield per plant (g)	38.69	24.50	62.75	27.01	26.77	99.00	54.65

Estimation of mean, range and genetic parameters in 81 sorghum germplasm lines for various quantitative characters

TABLE 1

PCV-Phenotypic-coefficient of variance; GCV- Genotypic-coefficient of variance;

 $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{BS})\text{-}$ heritability (broad sense) ; GAM- Genetic-advance per cent of mean

	5	
	õ	
	\circ	
	Z,	
	0	
	-	
-	\dot{c}	
ç	へ	
	2	
	$\dot{\cdot}$	
	-	
	12	
۲	-	
	2	
	$^{\circ}$	
•	1,	
	5	
	<u> </u>	J
	R	
ς	. '	
ς	5	2
ç	0	`
1	1 of	2
ر ۲	al of	\$
ر ۲	nal of	`
ر ۲	rnal of	`
1	urnal of	<i>د</i>
J 1	ournal of	<i>,</i>
1 1	Journal of	<i>د</i>
1 1	Journal of	^
1 1	e Journal of	~
1 1	re Journal of	
1 J	ore Journal of	د
1 V	sore Journal of	~
r r r	vsore Journal of	
1 T T V	<i>Mvsore</i> Journal of	,
1 I I I I	Mvsore Journal of	<i>.</i>
1 T T T	e Mvsore Journal of	•
	te Mvsore Journal of	

WA.

x	X ₂	X,	\mathbf{X}_4	X ₅	\mathbf{X}_{6}	X,	$\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{s}}$	X,	X ₁₀	X	X ₁₂	X ₁₃
1.0000	0.8960 **	0.4310 **	0.6140 **	0.5230 **	0.0429	0.1539	-0.2110 **	-0.1640 *	-0.1479	0.1311	-0.1450	-0.0353
	1.0000	0.4030 **	0.6070 **	0.5810 **	0.1125	0.2080 **	-0.1770 *	-0.0996	-0.0722	0.1067	-0.1120	0.0324
		1.0000	0.4600 **	0.5500 **	0.0157	-0.1236	0.1590 *	0.2230 **	-0.0111	0.0267	0.1700 *	0.0669
			1.0000	0.4730 **	0.2220 **	0.2660 **	-0.0650	-0.0167	0.0056	0.1124	0.0501	0.1570 *
				1.0000	0.3430 **	0.3710 **	0.1850 *	0.1442	0.1312	0.1043	0.1970 *	0.1268
					1.0000	0.4950 **	0.0710	0.1800 *	0.4180 **	0.3710 **	0.2890 **	0.1810 *
						1.0000	0.1091	0.0623	0.3760 **	0.2180 **	0.1458	0.1810 *
							1.0000	0.1680 *	0.0877	0.0156	0.1780 *	0.0891
								1.0000	0.5250 **	0.0522	0.4410 **	0.3880 **
									1.0000	0.2880 **	0.5410 **	0.5550 **
										1.0000	0.2200 **	0.1840 *
											1.0000	0.3360 **
												1.0000
nificant at	p = 0.05 and	** significar	it at $p = 0.01$		6	NIN WAL	SS.D					
Days to 50) per cent flov	wering	X5- L	eaf length (c	(m:	X,- F	anicle width	(cm)	X ₁₃ - Seed yie	ld per plant (£	(2	
Days to n	aturity		X L	eaf width (c.	m)	X_{10} -	Panicle weigh	ıt (g)				
Plant heigl	ıt (cm)		$X_7 - S_7$	tem diamete.	r (mm)	X_{11} -	Test weight (g)				
Number of	° leaves		X P.	anicle length	1 (mm)	X	Number of p	rimary branc	ches per panie	cle		

R. DIVYA *et al*.

\mathbf{X}_{l}	\mathbf{X}_{2}	\mathbf{X}_{3}	$\mathbf{X}_{_4}$	$X_5 X_6$	X	$\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{s}}$	X,	$\mathbf{X}_{_{10}}$	$\mathbf{X}_{_{11}}$	$\mathbf{X}_{^{12}}$	$\mathbf{X}_{_{13}}$
X1 1.0000 X2 X3	0.9250 ** 1.0000	0.4390 ** 0.4110 ** 1.0000	0.8810 ** 0.8980 ** 0.6380 **	0.5340 ** 0.0659 0.5970 ** 0.1790 * 0.5560 ** 0.0208	0.1670 * * 0.2410 ** -0.1358	-0.2270 ** -0.1820 * 0.1690 *	-0.1690 * -0.1000 0.2270 **	-0.1521 -0.0716 -0.0111	0.1364 0.1439 0.0309	-0.1526 -0.1131 0.1710*	-0.0369 0.0327 0.0673
X4 X5			1.0000	0.6410 ** 0.1383 1.0000 0.4630 *	0.2800 ** ** 0.4090 **	-0.1062 0.1920 *	-0.0426 0.1453	0.0001 0.1345	0.1495 0.1161	0.0662 0.1990 *	0.2240 ** 0.1284
X6				1.0000	0.5560 **	0.0345	0.2440 **	0.5690 **	0.5840 **	0.3890 **	0.2730 **
X/ X8					1.0000	0.1030 1.0000	0.1740 *	0.0887	0.29/0 ** 0.0252	0.1810 *	0.0977 • 0.0977
X9							1.0000	0.5390 **	0.0436	0.4550 **	0.3950 **
X10								1.0000	0.3320 **	0.5490 **	0.5650 **
X11									1.0000	0.2560 **	0.2270 **
X12										1.0000	0.3440 **
X13				なン							1.0000
*Significant at]	p = 0.05 and	** significan	t at $p = 0.01$								
X1- Days to 50	per cent flor	wering	X5- L	eaf length (cm)		X9- Pan	iicle width (c	3m) X1.	3- Seed yield	per plant (g)	
X2- Days to m	naturity		X6- I	eaf width (cm)		X10- Pa	unicle weight	(g)			
X3- Plant heigh	nt (cm)		X7- S	item diameter (mm)		X11- Te	st weight (g	 			
X4- Number of	leaves		X8-P	anicle length (mm)		X12- Ni	umber of pri	mary branch	es per panicle	C.	

R. DIVYA *et al*.

However, a narrow difference between the GCV and PCV for most of the traits indicated the negligible influence of environment on the expression of traits. Similar findings were reported by Channannavar et al. (2020). High estimates of GCV and PCV were observed for plant height (39.20, 39.10) followed by panicle weight (29.04, 28.78), test weight (27.66, 23.69), seed yield per plant (27.01, 26.77), panicle width (23.49, 22.97) and number of primary branches per panicle (23.39, 23.27) indicating the existence of more variability for these traits (Table 1). Similar results were obtained by Chavan et al. (2010), Shivaprasad et al. (2019) and Dhutmal et al. (2014). However, even GCV and PCV don't provide a valuable estimate of the extent of inheritance of the characters. As a result, the heritability of the traits may be trusted since it helps the plant breeder to determine the level of selection pressure to be applied in a given environment, which separates out the environmental influence from overall variability. Estimates of genetic advance are derived with the involvement of heritability, phenotypic standard deviation and intensity of selection. Hence, it is an unequivocal index for understanding the effectiveness of selection in improving the traits. High heritability coupled with high genetic advance as per cent of mean was observed for plant height (99.46, 80.32), seed yield per plant (99.00, 54.65), panicle weight (98.20, 58.74), number of primary branches per panicle (98.20, 47.69), leaf length (97.70, 27.44), days to 50 per cent flowering (96.70, 26.57), panicle width (95.60, 46.25), panicle length (87.90, 32.13), stem diameter (82.60, 35.50) and test weight (73.30, 41.80) indicating the preponderance of additive type of gene action in controlling these traits and consequently high genetic gain from selection would be expected. Hence selection based on these traits would be rewarding and a good response to selection can be attained in the early generation in improving these traits. The present results are in agreement with the findings of Goswami et al. (2020), Shalini et al. (2019) and Shivaprasad et al. (2019).

As yield is a complex quantitative character which is greatly influenced by the environment, direct selection for yield and yield component traits is futile. If selection is solely on yield per se performance, a high genotype R. DIVYA *et al*.

and environment interaction will limit improvement. Selection on yield component characters can thus result in effective improvement in yield. Therefore, the correlation studies are of considerable importance in any selection programme as they provide degree and direction of relationship between two or more component traits. Correlation studies revealed that a highly positive and significant correlation was seen between seed yield per plant and panicle weight (0.5550, 0.5650) followed by panicle width (0.3880, 0.3950), number of primary branches per panicle (0.3360, 0.3440), test weight (0.1840, 0.2270), leaf width (0.1810, 0.2730), stem diameter (0.1810, 0.2010) and number of leaves (0.1570, 0.2240) at both phenotypic and genotypic levels (Table 2 and Table 3). The results were in accordance with the findings of Sheetal et al. (2021), Kavya et al. (2020), Prasad and Sridhar (2020), Akatwijuka et al. (2019) and Shivaprasad et al. (2019). Improvement of grain yield might be possible if the above traits were considered in selection program. Genotypic correlations were greater than the phenotypic correlations which indicated the inherent associations between the characters studied.

The total correlation coefficient between yield and its component traits might sometimes be misleading as it may be an over or under estimate of its association with other traits. In such cases, direct selection on the basis of correlated response may not be bounteous. For critical evaluation, the correlation coefficient need to be split into direct and indirect effects using path coefficient analysis since, many traits affect a given character. Thus, the correlation and path coefficients in combination can give a better insight into cause and effect relationship between different pairs of characters. Path coefficient analysis was carried out at both phenotypic and genotypic level taking seed yield per plant as the dependable character (Table 4 and Table 5). Significant positive correlation and positive direct effect on seed yield per plant was seen for the traits number of leaves (0.2448, 3.5140), panicle weight (0.5137, 0.4403), panicle width (0.1349, 0.4427) and test weight (0.0677, 0.1316) at both phenotypic and genotypic levels, respectively which indicates the existence of a true relationship between these traits

		$\mathbf{X}_{_{12}}$	0.0232	-0.0149	0.0123	0.0073	-0.0367	-0.0101	0.0082	0.0594	0.2781	0.0149	0.0082	0.3360 **	
	es	$\mathbf{X}_{_{11}}$	-0.0210	-0.0023	0.0275	0.0039	-0.0472	-0.0151	0.0007	0.0070	0.1481	0.0677	0.0018	0.1840 *	
	rmplasm lin	\mathbf{X}_{l0}	0.0237 -0.0088	0.0010	0.0014	0.0049	-0.0531	-0.0261	0.0040	0.0709	0.5137	0.0195	0.0044	0.5550 **	micle
	sorghum ge	\mathbf{X}_9	0.0263-0.0121	-0.0195	-0.0041	0.0054	-0.0229	-0.0043	0.0078	0.1349	0.2699	0.0035	0.0036	0.3880 **	ranches per p
	ive traits in	\mathbf{X}_{s}	0.0338 -0.0215	-0.0139	-0.0159	0.0069	-0.0090	-0.0076	0.0461	0.0227	0.0451	0.0011	0.0015	0.0891	ridth (cm) veight (g) ght (g) of primary b
E 4	us quantitati	X	-0.0246 0.0254	0.0108	0.0651	0.0138	-0.0629	-0.0694	0.0050	0.0084	0.1933	0.0147	0.0012	0.1810 *	X_{10}^{-} Panicle w X_{10}^{-} Panicle v X_{11}^{-} Test wei X_{12}^{-} Number
TABL	its for vario	$\mathbf{X}_{_{6}}$	-0.0069	-0.0014	0.0544	0.0128	-0.1272	-0.0343	0.0033	0.0243	0.2147	0.0251	0.0024	0.1810 *	IRA S
	th coefficie	\mathbf{X}_{s}	-0.0836 0.0708	-0.0483	0.1159	0.0373	-0.0436	-0.0257	0.0085	0.0195	0.0674	0.0071	0.0016	0.1268	plant (g) angth (cm) tiameter (mm) e length (mm)
	enotypic pa	$\mathbf{X}_{_4}$	-0.0982 0.0740	-0.0404	0.2448	0.0176	-0.0282	-0.0185	-0.0030	-0.0023	0.0029	0.0076	0.0004	0.1570 *	p = 0.01 leed yield per ffect Leaf la X_5 - Leaf la X_7 - Stem c X_8 - Panicl
	mates of ph	X,	-0.0690 0.0491	-0.0878	0.1126	0.0205	-0.0020	0.0086	0.0073	0.0300	-0.0057	0.0018	0.0014	0.0669	significant at J fficient with s te the direct ef ng
	Esti	\mathbf{X}_2	-0.1434 0.1219	-0.0354	0.1487	0.0217	-0.0143	-0.0144	-0.0081	-0.0134	-0.0371	0.0072	-0.0009	0.0324	0.05 and ** rrelation co-e .2950 values indica r cent floweri rity wes ves
		$\mathbf{X}_{^{\mathrm{I}}}$	-0.1600 0 1092	-0.0378	0.1502	0.0195	-0.0055	-0.0107	-0.0097	-0.0222	-0.0760	0.0089	-0.0012	-0.0353	ificant at p = lenotypic con ual Effect: 0 and diagonal ays to 50 per ays to matu ant height (c umber of lea
			××	X ³	\mathbf{X}_{4}	\mathbf{X}_{5}	X,	\mathbf{X}_7	×	X,	$\mathbf{X}_{_{10}}$	$\mathbf{X}_{_{11}}$	$\mathbf{X}_{_{12}}$	rP	*Sign *Sign Residi Bold ε X ₁ - D: X ₂ - D: X ₃ - PI X ₄ - N ₄ - N ₄ -

R. DIVYA *et al*.

117

N	1	
•	clences	
5	ural S	
1.	cult	
•	Igri	
ç	0† ~	
ر ۲	urnal of A	
1 1	: Journal of A	
۲ ۲	'ysore Journal of A	
	e Mysore Journal of A	

	\mathbf{X}_{3}	$\mathbf{X}_{_{4}}$	\mathbf{X}_{s}	X ₆	X,	$\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{s}}$	\mathbf{X}_9	$\mathbf{X}_{_{\mathrm{IO}}}$	$\mathbf{X}_{_{\mathrm{H}}}$	$\mathbf{X}_{_{12}}$
\neg	0.3584	-0.7204	-0.4367	-0.0538	-0.1365	0.1853	0.1377	0.1243	-0.1115	0.1247
	0.7290	-1.5944	-1.0590	-0.3169	-0.4277	0.3227	0.1775	0.1271	-0.2555	0.2008
ī	1.4450	-0.9225	-0.8029	-0.0300	0.1963	-0.2443	-0.3285	0.0161	-0.0447	-0.2465
	2.2434	3.5140	2.2520	0.4860	0.9840	-0.3732	-0.1497	-0.0001	0.5255	0.2326
-	0.1841	0.2123	0.3313	0.1534	0.1354	0.0637	0.0482	0.0446	0.0384	0.0658
-	0.0061	0.0408	0.1366	0.2951	0.1642	0.0102	0.0719	0.1680	0.1724	0.1149
-	0.1217	-0.2509	-0.3661	-0.4986	-0.8961	-0.0923	-0.0442	-0.3678	-0.2661	-0.1376
-	0.0340	-0.0213	0.0386	0.0069	0.0207	0.2009	0.0350	0.0178	0.0051	0.0363
-	0.1006	-0.0189	0.0643	0.1079	0.0218	0.0770	0.4427	0.2387	0.0193	0.2016
Ÿ	0.0049	0.0000	0.0592	0.2507	0.1807	0.0391	0.2374	0.4403	0.1462	0.2419
-	0.0041	0.0197	0.0153	0.0769	0.0391	0.0033	0.0057	0.0437	0.1316	0.0336
Ÿ	0.0895	-0.0347	-0.1042	-0.2043	-0.0806	-0.0947	-0.2389	-0.2881	-0.1340	-0.5246
0	0.0673	0.2240 **	0.1284	0.2730 **	0.2010 *	0.0977	0.3950 **	0.5650 **	0.2270 **	0.3440 **
*	significant	at p = 0.01	/			100				
j ef	ficient wit te the direc	h seed yield p	əer plant (g)	Resid	ual Effect: 0.2	2220				
3 .Ĕ	ng	X_{s} - Te	af length (cm		X_9 - Panic	le width (cm				
		X ₆ - Le X ₇ - Stt X - Pai	eat width (cm em diameter (nicle length (1) (mm) mm)	X_{10} - Pani X_{11} - Test X - Ninm	cle weight (g, weight (g) ther of nrima	rv hranches ne	r nanicle		

R. DIVYA *et al*.

and seed yield per plant. Hence, selection of these traits would lead to advance in seed yield. Days to 50 per cent flowering, plant height, stem diameter showed direct effects in negative direction at both genotypic and phenotypic levels. At the phenotypic level maximum indirect effect was shown by panicle weight (0.2699) through panicle width on seed yield per plant followed by panicle weight (0.1933) through stem diameter on seed yield per plant, whereas at the genotypic level maximum indirect effect was shown by number of leaves (3.1565) through days to maturity on seed yield per plant followed by number of leaves (3.0947) through days to 50 per cent flowering on seed yield per plant. Present study was in close conformity with the findings of Prasad and Sridhar (2020) and Shivaprasad et al. (2019). The residual effect at the genotypic and the phenotypic level was 0.2220 and 0.2950, respectively which measures the role of other possible independent variables on seed yield per plant which were not included in the investigation.

Thus, from the study it can be concluded that the traits like days to 50 per cent flowering, plant height, leaf length, stem diameter, panicle length, panicle width, panicle weight, test weight, number of primary branches per panicle and seed yield per plant were controlled by additive gene action and selection based on these traits would fetch a good response in the early generation in improving these traits. Twenty-one genotypes performed better in terms of yield than the highest yielding check CSV 23. Hence these germplasm lines could be used as source for breeding for high yield. Panicle weight, panicle width, number of primary branches per panicle, test weight and stem diameter had high heritability and positive correlation with yield. So, simultaneous improvement of these traits along with yield is possible through simple selection.

References

- AKATWIJUKA, R., RUBAIHAYO, P. R. AND ODONG, T. L., 2019, Correlations and path analysis of yield traits in sorghum grown in southwestern highlands of Uganda. *African Crop Sci. J.*, 27 (3): 437 - 444.
- ANONYMOUS, Food and Agriculture Organisation, 2017. http://www.fao.org/faostat

- CHAVAN, S. K., MAHAJAN, R. C. AND FATAK, S. U., 2010, Genetic variability studies in sorghum. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, **23** (2): 322 323.
- DHUTMAL, R. R., MEHETRE, S. P., MORE, A. W., KALPANDE, H. V., MUNDHE, A. G. AND SAYYAD ABU BAKAR, A. I., 2014, Variability parameters in *rabi* sorghum drought tolerant genotypes. *The Ecoscan*, 6: 273 277.
- GOSWAMI, S. J., PATEL, P. T., GAMI, R. A., PATEL, R. N. AND KHATRI, A. B., 2020, Correlation and path analysis study of different characters for grain yield and fodder purpose in sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]. *Elect.* J. Plant Breed., 11 (04): 1053 - 1061.
- HARLAN, J. R. AND DE WET, J. M., 1972, A simplified classification of cultivated sorghum 1. *Crop science*, 12 (2):172-176.
- JOHNSON, H. W., ROBINSON, H. P. AND COMSTOC, R. E., 1955, Estimation of genetic and environmental variability in soybeans. *Breed. J.*, **47** : 314 - 318.
- K. V. SHALINI, N. UMASHANKAR, K. M. HARINIKUMAR, P. S. BENHERLAL, C. A. DEEPAK AND N. G. RAVICHANDRA., 2019, Screening of sweet sorghum genotypes for the traits related to biomass and sugar content. *Mysore J. Agric. Sci.*, 53 (3) : 43-48.
- Kalloo, G., 1994, Veg. Breed, Panima educational book agency, New Delhi, p.: 41.
- KAVYA, P., RAO, V. S., VIJAYALAKSHMI, B., SREEKANTH, B., RADHAKRISHNA, Y. AND UMAR, S. N., 2020, Correlation and path coefficient analysis in sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Monech] for ethanol yield. J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem., 9 (2): 2407 - 2410.
- MWIRIGI, P. N., KAHANGI, E. M., NYENDE, A. B. AND MAMATI, E. G. 2009, Morphological variability within the Kenyan yam (*Dioscorea* spp.). *J. Appl. Biosci.*, **16** : 894 - 901.
- PRASAD, B. V. AND SRIDHAR, V., 2020, Assessment of variability, correlation and path analysis for yield and yield related traits in yellow pericarp sorghum germplasm lines [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]. J. Pharma cogn. Phytochem., 9 (1): 870 - 873.

- RAMESH CHANNANNAVAR, S. RAJENDRA PRASAD, T. M. RAMANAPPA, P. J. DEVARAJU AND R. SIDDARAJU., 2020, Estimation of genetic variability parameters in germplasm accessions of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Mysore J. Agric. Sci.*, 54 (2): 59-66.
- SHEETAL, T., JAWALE, L. N. AND MORE, A. W., 2021, Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis studies in B parental lines of *kharif* sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*; 10 (8): 624-628.
- SHIVAPRASAD, T., GIRISH, G., BADIGANNAVAR, A., MUNISWAMY, S., YOGESH, L. N. AND GANAPATHI, T. R., 2019, sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] mutants. Electron. J. Plant Breed., 10 (4): 1383 - 1389.
- WRIGHT, S., 1921, Correlation and causation. J. Agric. Res., **20**: 557 585.

(Received : December 2021 Accepted : April 2022)