

Floristic Composition and Diversity of Native and Naturalised Species in the Biodiversity Heritage Site of GKVK Campus, UAS, Bangalore

T. S. SUMANTH AND K. T. PRASANNA

Department of Forestry and Environmental Science, College of Agriculture, UAS, GKVK, Bengaluru - 560 065

e-Mail : suman64logia@outlook.com

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION

T. S. SUMANTH :
Formulating the research,
Data collection and data
analysis

K. T. PRASANNA :
Formulation of the research
topic and guidance

Corresponding Author:

T. S. SUMANTH
Department of Forestry and
Environmental Science,
UAS, GKVK, Bengaluru

Received : May 2022

Accepted : August 2022

ABSTRACT

The study on floristic composition and diversity of flora in the heritage site of UAS, GKVK, Bengaluru revealed that the study site contains a total of 149 plant species belonging to 55 families which include 75 tree, 13 shrub, 59 herb and 2 climber species. The Shannon-Wiener Index (H') and Simpson's diversity index (1-D) was found to be 3.53 and 0.95, respectively which shows that the study site is a species diverse system. Majority of the trees (51.59%) were in the girth class range of 30 cm to 60 cm and shows a reverse J shaped growth curve which indicates that the forests of the study site has good regeneration. Majority (28.43%) of the trees were found in the height range of 12 m to 15 m. Abundance to frequency ratio analyzed in the heritage site shows that all the woody species were contiguously distributed. Importance value index (IVI) analyzed show's that *Eucalyptus tereticornis* Sm. (58.01) and *Acacia auriculiformis* A. Cunn. ex Benth (38.64) were the dominant tree species. *Lantana camara* L. (8.15) - and *Ziziphus oenopolia* (L.) Mill. (7.34) were the major shrub species. *Panicum maximum* Jacq. (26.254), *Chromolaena odorata* (L.) R.M. King & H. Rob. (18.28) and *Mimosa pudica* L. (15.94) were the dominant herb species found in the study site. The study revealed that the biodiversity heritage site of GKVK campus is rich in species composition.

Keywords : Biodiversity, Heritage site, Diversity indices, Species composition

BIODIVERSITY embraces variety and variability of life on earth. India is one of the seventeen mega biodiversity countries with only 2.4 per cent of the land area, India accounts for 8.1 per cent of the recorded species of the world. In India, 18 geographical sites had been declared as biodiversity heritage sites by National Biodiversity Authority (NBA) and there are four biodiversity heritage sites in Karnataka and University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, Bengaluru is one among them. The Gandhi Krishi Vignana Kendra is considered as one of the greenest areas in Bengaluru city. The campus is habitat to rich diversity (Subramanya and Nuthan, 2014). Biological diversity of this campus constitutes a repository of various forms of flora and fauna which needs to be protected and nurtured.

Karnataka Biodiversity Board in its meeting dated 08/09/2009 has declared GKVK campus as a heritage

site under the section 37 (i) of the Biological Diversity Act, 2002. A total of 167 hectares in 14 patches are designated as biodiversity heritage site which consists of 40ha of scrub forest, 28 ha of parks and 99 ha of plantations & orchards. The biodiversity of the entire campus includes 113 species of mammals, 10 species of reptiles, 165 species of birds and 530 plant species which includes 70 species of medicinal plants, 6 rare plant species, 4 are endemic (deciduous forests) (Fathima *et al.*, 1974). The campus with its valuable biodiversity is performing as a repository of diverse flora and fauna which also includes 196 species of butterflies as notified by Government of India No. FEE 132 ENV 2009 dated 02/08/2010. GKVK campus hosts scrub forest and a botanical garden along with plantations. GKVK has very high density of sandalwood trees with ideal condition for natural regeneration (Lakshmi *et al.*, 2015).

The floristic composition and biodiversity studies can provide baseline information for monitoring and sustainable management of the biodiversity. It is essential to quantify the floristic composition and diversity of the campus for the future management. The present investigation provides essential background for formulating sustainable conservation of biodiversity in the biodiversity heritage site of GKVK.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was carried out in the biodiversity heritage site of Gandhi Krishi Vigyana Kendra (GKVK), UAS Bangalore, GKVK is situated at an altitude of 924 meters above mean sea level. The annual rainfall ranges from 528 mm to 1374.4 mm with the mean 915.5mm. The study site records a maximum annual temperature of 29.3°C and minimum annual temperature of 17.9°C. 167 hectares of the total area of the campus in 14 patches has been designated as biodiversity heritage site and these 14 patches are named as area A (16 hectares), B (8 hectares), C (16 hectares), D (28 hectares), E1 (7 hectares), E2 (14 hectares), E3 (6 hectares), E4 (16 hectares), E5 (8 hectares), E6 (13 hectares), E7 (14 hectares), E8 (12 hectares), E9 (3 hectares) and E10 (6 hectares) which are spread across the campus (Karnataka Biodiversity Board notification, 2009). Out of these 14 patches area A, B and area C are natural forests and rest of the 10 designated are as contain cultivated or artificially planted species. This study was carried out during the winter season.

Random quadrat sampling method was used to study the floristic composition and species diversity. Quadrats of 20 m² were laid in different spots of the study area. In each quadrat all the individuals with girth \geq 30cm were identified and measured for their girth at breast height and height. Individuals with GBH less than 30cm and height more than 1m are considered as saplings and these are enumerated in 5m² sub plot in one corner of the main plot. In the same sub plot, shrubs were also enumerated. For herbs and seedlings (height is less than 1m) four subplots of 1m² were laid out on all corners of the main plot and individuals were enumerated. A total of

137 quadrats were laid in the study site for recording the floral biodiversity. Identification of the species was done with the help of local floras and comparing the voucher specimen with the collection in the herbarium, Mahatma Gandhi Botanical Garden, UAS, GKVK, Bengaluru.

Floristic composition was analysed using importance value index (IVI) by evaluating the relative frequency, relative dominance and relative density (Curtis and McIntosh, 1951). Species diversity was studied using the community indices such as Shannon-wiener diversity (Shannon and Weiner, 1963) and Simpson's diversity indices (Simpson, 1949).

Importance value index is the sum of the average measure of relative dominance, relative frequency and relative density. The field data was evaluated for number of species and quantitative analysis of frequency; density per hectare and basal area per hectare and their values were calculated and added to get Importance Value Index (Mueller-Dombois and Ellenberg, 1974). Importance Value Index, is a measure of how dominant a species is in a given ecosystem.

$$\text{Importance value index (IVI)} = \text{Relative dominance} + \text{Relative frequency} + \text{Relative density}$$

$$\text{Relative Frequency} = \frac{\text{Frequency of a species}}{\text{Total frequency of all species}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Relative dominance} = \frac{\text{Total basal area of the species in all quadrats}}{\text{Total number of basal areas of all the species in all quadrats}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Relative Density} = \frac{\text{Number of quadrats in which species is studied}}{\text{Total number of quadrats studied}} \times 100$$

The Shannon-wiener diversity index (H') is a widely used diversity index in ecological literatures. It measures the order/disorder in a particular system.

$$H' = -\sum_{i=1}^N P_i \ln P_i$$

Where, n_i = Number of individuals of the i^{th} species

N = Total number of individuals

Simpson's diversity indices (D) is the probability that two randomly chosen individuals belong to two different species. Measures founded on the Simpson index have a figure of desirable properties (Lande, 1996). In Simpson's diversity index, 0 represents infinite diversity and 1, no diversity. That is, The bigger the value of D, the lower the diversity. This is neither intuitive nor logical, so to get over this problem, D is often subtracted from 1 to give 1-D. with 1-D, the value of this index also ranges between 0 and 1, but now, the greater the value, the greater the sample diversity.

$$D = \sum \frac{n_i(n_i - 1)}{N(N - 1)}$$

Where, n_i = Number of individuals of the i^{th} species

N = Total number of individuals

Girth class wise distribution of tree species and height relationship was calculated and compared to evaluate the structural composition in the study area. Girth was measured at GBH and height was measured at canopy level. Woody species was grouped among nine girth classes, they are, 30 to <60, 60 to <90, 90 to <120, 120 to <150, 150 to <180, 180 to <210, 210 to <240, 240 to <270 and >270 cm. Height classes considered are <3, 3 to <6, 6 to <9, 9 to <12, 12 to <15, 15 to <18, 18 to <21, 21 to 24 (Koushik *et al.*, 2014).

Distribution of woody species was evaluated using the ratio of abundance to frequency (Whitford, 1949). If the value occurs below 0.025: regular, 0.025 - 0.05: random and if > 0.05 contiguous (Cottam and Curtis, 1956).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The floristic composition of the study site was composed of herbs, shrubs, climbers and tree species. A total of 149 plant species belonging to 55 families were found in the study site which includes 59 herbs, (Table 1), 75 tree species (Table 2) and 13 shrub & 2 climbers (Table 3). The number of species (149)

TABLE 1
Herbaceous species composition of the heritage site of GKVK

Name of the Species	Family
<i>Acanthospermum australe</i> (Loefl.) Kuntze.	Asteraceae
<i>Achyranthes aspera</i> L.	Amaranthaceae
<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i> L.	Asteraceae
<i>Alternanthera abrasiliana</i> (L.) Kuntze.	Amaranthaceae
<i>Alternanthera rasesilis</i> (L.) R.Br. ex DC.	Amaranthaceae
<i>Aristida setacea</i> Retz.	Poaceae
<i>Bidens pilosa</i> L.	Asteraceae
<i>Blepharisma deraspatensis</i> (L.) B.Heyne ex Roth.	Acanthaceae
<i>Calypto carpusvialis</i> Less.	Asteraceae
<i>Cardiospermum halicacabum</i> L.	Sapindaceae
<i>Cassia hirsuta</i> L.	Fabaceae
<i>Cassia tora</i> (L.) Roxb.	Fabaceae
<i>Celastruspaniculatus</i> Willd.	Celastraceae
<i>Centothecalappacea</i> (L.) Desv.	Poaceae
<i>Centrosemapubescens</i> Benth	Fabaceae
<i>Chenopodiumvulvaria</i> L.	Amaranthaceae
<i>Chlorisbarbata</i> Sw.	Poaceae
<i>Chromolaenaodorata</i> (L.) R.M.King & H.Rob.	Asteraceae
<i>Commelinabenghalensis</i> L.	Commelinaceae
<i>Crassocephalumcrepidioides</i> (Benth.) S.Moore.	Asteraceae
<i>Crotalaria juncea</i> L.	Fabaceae
<i>Curcuma longa</i> L.	Zingiberaceae
<i>Cynodactylon</i> (L.) Pers.	Poaceae
<i>Cyperusrotundus</i> L.	Cyperaceae
<i>Dactylisglomerata</i> L.	Poaceae
<i>Desmodiumpaniculatum</i> (L.) DC.	Fabaceae
<i>Digitariaciliaris</i> (Retz.) Koeler	Poaceae
<i>Hygrophilaerecta</i> (Burm.f.) Hochr.	Acanthaceae
<i>Eragrostis sp.</i> L.	Poaceae
<i>Erigeron bonariensis</i> L.	Asteraceae
<i>Euphorbia heterophylla</i> L.	Euphorbiaceae
<i>Heteropogoncontortus</i> (L.) P.Beauv. ex Roem. &Schult.	Poaceae
<i>Ichnocarpusfrutescens</i> (L.) W.T.Aiton.	Apocynaceae
<i>Indigoferahirsuta</i> L.	Fabaceae
<i>Ipomoea staphylina</i> Roem. &Schult.	Convolvulaceae
<i>Malvastrumcoromandelianum</i> (L.) Garcke.	Malvaceae
<i>Mimosa pudica</i> L.	Fabaceae
<i>Panicum maximum</i> Jacq.	Poaceae
<i>Panicumvirgatum</i> Roxb. ex Steud.	Poaceae
<i>Partheniumhysterophorus</i> L.	Asteraceae
<i>Paspalumdilatum</i> Poir.	Poaceae

Name of the Species	Family
<i>Passiflorafoetida</i> L.	Passifloraceae
<i>Pennisetumpolystachion</i> (L.) Schult.	Poaceae
<i>Pennisetumsetaceum</i> (Forssk.) Chiov.	Poaceae
<i>Cenchrusstramineus</i> (Peter) Morrone.	Poaceae
<i>Peperomiamagnoliifolia</i> (Jacq.) A.Dietr.	Piperaceae
<i>Plumbagozeylanica</i> L.	Plumbaginaceae
<i>Portulacaoleracea</i> L.	Portulacaceae
<i>Richardiascabra</i> L.	Rubiaceae
<i>Sidacordata</i> (Burm.f.) Borss.Waalk.	Malvaceae
<i>Sidacordifolia</i> L.	Malvaceae
<i>Solanum nigrum</i> L.	Solanaceae
<i>Sorghum halepense</i> (L.) Pers.	Poaceae
<i>Sphagneticolatrilobata</i> (L.) Pruski.	Asteraceae
<i>Stylosanthesfruticosa</i> (Retz.) Alston.	Fabaceae
<i>Synedrellanodiflora</i> (L.) Gaertn.	Asteraceae
<i>Urenalobata</i> L.	Malvaceae
<i>Urochloalachnantha</i> (Hochst.) A.M.Torres & C.M.Morton.	Poaceae
<i>Vernoniacinerea</i> (L.) Less.	Asteraceae

found in the present study was observed to be lower than the number of species reported by Fathima *et al.*, 1974 where they recorded a total of 530 plant species in the entire GKVK campus. This may be because of the conversion of the lands of GKVK for the purpose of research and agriculture. This may also be due to the change in land use from natural forest to Agro-ecosystem, reduction of woody species and subsequent replacement by shrubs and weedy herbs. Floristic composition and diversity of the campus has been changed compared to early 1970's. Amulya (2012) observed that there was a change in vegetation cover in GKVK between 1970 to 2011 because of major forest destruction (about 48.14% of the total forest area) which occurred between 2001 to 2011. However, the number of species recorded in the present study was higher than the number of species recorded (135 species belonging to 45 families) by Tarakeswara *et al.*, 2018 in the deciduous forests of eastern Ghats of India which shows us that the species richness of the study site is higher than some deciduous forests of Eastern Ghats.

Shannon-Wiener Index of the study site was found to be 3.53. Shannon-Wiener Index values of 3.36, 2.07 and 2.87 was observed for herbs, shrubs and tree

TABLE 2
Tree species composition

Name of the Species	Family
<i>Acacia auriculiformis</i> A.Cunn. ex Benth.	Fabaceae
<i>Ailanthus excelsa</i> Roxb.	Simaroubaceae
<i>Ailanthus malabarica</i> DC.	Simaroubaceae
<i>Albiziaamara</i> (Roxb.) Boivin.	Fabaceae
<i>Albizialebeck</i> (L.) Benth.	Fabaceae
<i>Albiziaodoratissima</i> (L.f.) Benth.	Fabaceae
<i>Amooralawii</i> (Wight) Bedd.	Meliaceae
<i>Anacardiumoccidentale</i> L.	Anacardiaceae
<i>Andirainermis</i> (W.Wright) DC.	Fabaceae
<i>Annonamuricata</i> L.	Annonaceae
<i>Arengaobtusifolia</i> Mart.	Arecaceae
<i>Artocarpusheterophyllus</i> Lam.	Moraceae
<i>Azadirachtaindica</i> A. Juss.	Meliaceae
<i>Bauhinia purpurea</i> L.	Fabaceae
<i>Bauhinia variegata</i> L.	Fabaceae
<i>Broussonetiapapyrifera</i> (L.) L'Hér. ex Vent.	Moraceae
<i>Buteamonosperma</i> (Lam.) Kuntze.	Fabaceae
<i>Cassia fistula</i> L.	Fabaceae
<i>Cassia siamea</i> Lam.	Fabaceae
<i>Delonixregia</i> (Bojer ex Hook.) Raf.	Fabaceae
<i>Dilleniaindica</i> L.	Dilleniaceae
<i>Diospyrosmelanoxylon</i> Roxb.	Ebenaceae
<i>Diospyromontana</i> B. Heyne ex A. DC.	Ebenaceae
<i>Diospyros sp.</i> L.	Ebenaceae
<i>Dracaena reflexa</i> Lam.	Asparagaceae
<i>Enterolobiumcontortisiliquum</i> (Vell.) Morong.	Fabaceae
<i>Eucalyptus citriodora</i> Hook.	Myrtaceae
<i>Eucalyptus tereticornis</i> Sm.	Myrtaceae
<i>Ficusbenghalensis</i> L.	Moraceae
<i>Ficusbenjamina</i> L.	Moraceae
<i>Ficuskrishnae</i> C.DC.	Moraceae
<i>Ficusmollis</i> Willd.	Moraceae
<i>Ficustsjahela</i> Burm.f	Moraceae
<i>Ficusvirens</i> Aiton.	Moraceae
<i>Gliricidiasepium</i> (Jacq.) Kunth.	Fabaceae
<i>Gmelinaarborea</i> Roxb. ex Sm.	Lamiaceae
<i>Grevillearobusta</i> A.Cunn. ex R.Br.	Proteaceae
<i>Jacaranda mimosifolia</i> D.Don.	Bignoniaceae
<i>Lagerstroemia lanceolata</i> Wight & Arn.	Lythraceae
<i>Leucaenaleucocephala</i> (Lam.) de Wit.	Fabaceae
<i>Mangiferaindica</i> L.	Anacardiaceae
<i>Tapinanthusbangwensis</i> (Engl.&K.Krause) Danser.	Loranthaceae
<i>Manilkarazapota</i> (L.) P.Royen.	Sapotaceae
<i>Meliadubia</i> Cav.	Meliaceae

Name of the Species	Family
<i>Michelia × longifolia</i> Blume.	-
<i>Micheliachampaca</i> L.	Magnoliaceae
<i>Mimusopselengi</i> Bojer.	Sapotaceae
<i>Monoonfragrans</i> (Dalzell) B.Xue & R.M.K.Saunders.	Annonaceae
<i>Peltophorumpterocarpum</i> (DC.) Backer ex K.Heyne.	Fabaceae
<i>Perseaamericana</i> Mill.	Lauraceae
<i>Phyllanthusemblica</i> L.	Phyllanthaceae
<i>Phyllanthuspolyphyllus</i> Willd.	Phyllanthaceae
<i>Polyalthialongifolia</i> (Sonn.) Benth. & Hook.f. ex Thwaites.	Annonaceae
<i>Pongamiapinnata</i> (L.) Pierre.	Fabaceae
<i>Pritchardiapacifica</i> Seem. & H.Wendl.	Arecaceae
<i>Psidiumcattleyanum</i> Sabine.	Myrtaceae
<i>Psidiumguajava</i> L.	Myrtaceae
<i>Pterocarpusmarsupium</i> Roxb.	Fabaceae
<i>Pterygotaalata</i> Thwaites.	Malvaceae
<i>Santalum album</i> L.	Santalaceae
<i>Sapindusaurifolius</i> Balb. ex DC.	Sapindaceae
<i>Schleicheraoleosa</i> (Lour.) Oken.	Sapindaceae
<i>Securinegaleucopyrus</i> (Willd.) Müll.Arg.	Phyllanthaceae
<i>Simaroubaglauca</i> DC.	Simaroubaceae
<i>Sterculiaurens</i> Roxb.	Malvaceae
<i>Swieteniamahagoni</i> (L.) Jacq.	Meliaceae
<i>Syzygiumcumini</i> (L.) Skeels.	Myrtaceae
<i>Syzygiumjambos</i> (L.) Alston.	Myrtaceae
<i>Syzygiumoperculatum</i> (Roxb.) Nied.	Myrtaceae
<i>Tamarindusindica</i> L.	Fabaceae
<i>Tectonagrandis</i> L.f.	Lamiaceae
<i>Terminaliaarjuna</i> (Roxb. ex DC.) Wight & Arn.	Combretaceae
<i>Terminaliabelirica</i> (Gaertn.) Roxb.	Combretaceae
<i>Terminaliatomentosa</i> Wight & Arn.	Combretaceae
<i>Xanthophyllumovatifolium</i> Chodat.	Polygalaceae

species, respectively (Table 4). These values show that the study site is a species diverse system. The higher diversity indices reveal high plant diversity and abundance in the study site. The Shannon-Wiener Index found in the present study falls within the range of 0.67 to 4.86 reported in tropical forests of Indian sub-continent (Joshi & Shalini, 2018; Kumar *et al.*, 2010 and Panda *et al.*, 2013). Area D (2.90), C (2.78) and A (2.38) were found to be having the highest species diversity (Table 4). Area D (2.66) showed the highest herb diversity, area E5 (1.70) had the highest shrub diversity and area C (3.59) had the highest tree diversity (Table 5) in the study site.

TABLE 3

Shrub species and Climber species composition

Name of the Species	Family
Shrub species	
<i>Bambusa vulgaris</i> Nees.	Poaceae
<i>Caesalpinia pulcherrima</i> (L.) Sw.	Fabaceae
<i>Calliandraca lothyrus</i> Meisn	Fabaceae
<i>Canthium parviflorum</i> Lam.	Rubiaceae
<i>Duranta erecta</i> L.	Verbenaceae
<i>Ixora coccinea</i> L.	Rubiaceae
<i>Jasminum cuspidatum</i> Rottler	Oleaceae
<i>Lantana camara</i> L.	Verbenaceae
<i>Malpighia glabra</i> L.	Malpighiaceae
<i>Phoenix sylvestris</i> (L.) Roxb.	Arecaceae
<i>Scutiamyrtina</i> (Burm.f.) Kurz.	Rhamnaceae
<i>Ventilago bombaiensis</i> Dalzell.	Rhamnaceae
<i>Ziziphosoenopolia</i> (L.) Mill.	Rhamnaceae
Climber Species	
<i>Diplocyclospalmatus</i> (L.) C.Jeffrey.	Cucurbitaceae
<i>Plecospermum spinosum</i> (Willd.) Trécul.	Moraceae

Simpson's index results show's that the study site exhibits high diversity with Simpson's diversity index (1-D) value of 0.95. Area D (0.92), Area C (0.88) and Area A (0.88), respectively shows the highest Simpson's diversity among the different areas of the heritage site (Table 1). Area D (0.91) had the highest herb diversity, area E5 (0.80) had the highest shrub diversity and area C (0.97) had the highest tree diversity (Table 5) compared to other areas of heritage site. Simpson's index results in the study site is similar to the Simpson index reported by Bajpai *et al.* (2017) in the moist deciduous forest of eastern Terai, India. Area C ($H' = 2.78$, 1-D = 0.88) which is a natural forest containing wild species shows the highest diversity compared to cultivated patches where planting has been taken up. Among the cultivated sites area E3 ($H' = 2.35$, 1-D = 0.88) exhibits the highest diversity (Table 4).

Eucalyptus tereticornis Sm. (IVI = 58.01) and *Acacia auriculiformis* A. Cunn. ex Benth (IVI=38.64) were the dominant tree species (Table 6). Highest contribution in IVI by dominant tree species was because of their greater basal area and high relative dominance. This reflects the ecological success of these species in the study area. Even though

TABLE 4
Shannon-Wiener and Simpson's Index for the
different areas of the study site

Area of heritage site	Shannon-Wiener Index (H')	Simpson's Index(1-D)
A	2.38	0.88
B	1.55	0.63
C	2.78	0.88
D	2.90	0.92
E1	1.27	0.63
E2	2.22	0.84
E3	2.35	0.88
E4	2.20	0.84
E5	2.26	0.87
E6	2.26	0.82
E7	1.88	0.62
E8	1.75	0.70
E9	1.58	0.77
E10	1.83	0.79
Entire study site	3.53	0.95

Santalum album L. shows high IVI value, its relative dominance was found to be very low because majority of the *Santalum album* L. present in the study site are still in the sapling stage. High Relative density and Relative frequency of *Santalum album* L. shows that it has good regeneration in the study site. Importance Value Index is essential to understand the plant community composition and the competitive ability of species in an ecosystem. On the basis of IVI values this forest community can be considered as *Eucalyptus tereticornis* Sm. and *Acacia auriculiformis* A. Cunn. ex Benth forest community. Panda *et al.*, 2013 reported that in Eastern Ghats, *Shorea robusta* C. F. Gaertn., *Lannea coromandelica* (Houtt.) Merr., *Madhuca indica* J. F. Gmel. and *Diospyros melanoxylon* Wild. were the dominant tree species which has a different forest community compared to heritage site of GKVK. Herbaceous species *Panicum maximum* Jacq. (IVI = 26.25), *Chromolaena odorata* (L.) R. M. King & H. Rob. (IVI=18.28) and *Mimosa pudica* L. (IVI=15.94) were the dominant herb

TABLE 5
Diversity indices for herbs, shrubs and tree species in different areas of the study site

Site Name	Diversity indices of Shrubs		Diversity indices of Herbs		Diversity indices of Trees	
	Shannon-Wiener Index	Simpson index	Shannon-Wiener Index	Simpson index	Shannon-Wiener Index	Simpson index
A	1.91	0.78	1.55	0.75	1.79	0.74
B	0.69	0.39	1.34	0.73	1.49	0.72
C	2.39	0.88	1.08	0.65	3.59	0.97
D	2.66	0.91	1.69	0.77	2.34	0.87
E1	1.33	0.69	-	-	-	-
E2	1.45	0.74	-	-	1.2	0.62
E3	1.83	0.82	-	-	1.61	0.78
E4	2.53	0.9	1.52	0.74	1.44	0.68
E5	2.5	0.9	1.7	0.8	1.68	0.78
E6	1.37	0.62	1.28	0.69	2.26	0.86
E7	1.4	0.67	1.37	0.7	2.62	0.92
E8	1.77	0.76	-	-	0.27	0.12
E9	1.96	0.76	-	-	-	-
E10	1.97	0.8	-	-	0.66	0.36
Entire study site	3.36	0.95	2.07	0.84	2.87	0.89

TABLE 6
Importance value index of major woody species

Name of the species	Relative Dominance	Relative Density	Relative Frequency	Importance Value index
<i>Eucalyptus tereticornis</i> Sm.	25.29	22.36	10.35	58.01
<i>Acacia auriculiformis</i> A. Cunn. ex Benth.	17.75	13.07	7.81	38.64
<i>Santalum album</i> L.	1.00	12.05	9.77	22.82
<i>Bambusa vulgaris</i> Nees.	16.3	2.2	2.34	20.85
<i>Mangifera indica</i> L.	5.32	4.2	6.05	15.58
<i>Jacaranda mimosifolia</i> D. Don.	2.89	6.51	4.49	13.89
<i>Tamarindus indica</i> L.	5.2	2.68	4.49	12.37
<i>Lantana camara</i> L.	0.07	2.26	5.82	8.15
<i>Ziziphus oenopolia</i> (L.) Mill.	0.11	2.2	5.03	7.34
<i>Pongamia pinnata</i> (L.) Pierre.	1.28	1.78	3.02	6.08

species in the study site (Table 7). *Lantana camara* L. (IVI = 8.15) -and *Ziziphus oenopolia* (L.) Mill. (IVI = 7.34) were the major shrub species (Table 6). The 10 major woody species occupies

67.91 per cent of the IVI. Similar results were also recorded by Krishnamurthy *et al.* (2010) in the dry deciduous forests of Bhadra wildlife sanctuary where dominant species occupied 62 per cent of the Importance Value.

Girth class wise distribution of woody species in the heritage site shows that 51.59 per cent of the trees were in the girth class range of 30 cm to 60 cm (Table 8) and shows a reverse J. shaped growth curve

TABLE 7
Importance value index of major herbaceous species

Name of the species	Relative Density	Relative Frequency	Importance Value index
<i>Panicum maximum</i> Jacq.	13.18	13.08	26.25
<i>Chromolaena odorata</i> (L.) R.M. King & H. Rob.	9.16	9.13	18.28
<i>Mimosa pudica</i> L.	7.94	8	15.94
<i>Panicum virgatum</i> Roxb. ex Steud.	7.94	7.71	15.66
<i>Alternanthera sessilis</i> (L.) R.Br. ex DC.	5.05	5.08	10.13
<i>Parthenium hysterophorus</i> L.	4.49	4.52	9.00
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i> (L.) Pers.	4.11	4.14	8.25
<i>Cyperus rotundus</i> L.	3.93	3.95	7.88
<i>Pennisetum polystachion</i> (L.) Schult.	3.08	3.1	6.19
<i>Richardia scabra</i> L.	3.13	3.01	6.14

TABLE 8

Girth class and height class distribution of woody species in the heritage site

Girth Class (cm)	Frequency in number	Percentage	Height Class (m)	Frequency in number	Percentage
30-60	632	51.59	1-3	222	12.16
60-90	444	36.24	3-6	439	24.05
90-120	80	6.53	6-9	126	6.90
120-150	38	3.10	9-12	255	13.97
150-180	18	1.46	12-15	519	28.43
180-210	7	0.57	15-18	181	9.91
210-240	4	0.32	18-21	80	4.38
240-270	1	0.08	21-24	3	0.16
>270	1	0.08			

which indicates that the forests of the study site has good regeneration. These results are in line with the results reported by Tarakeswara *et al.* (2018) in the deciduous forests of North-Central Eastern Ghats where majority of the tree species were represented in 31-60 cm girth class with 41 per cent of the individuals and trees showed a reverse J shaped curve. It was found that 28.43 per cent of the tree species in the study site had the height range of 12m to 15m (Table 8). Only 0.16 per cent of the trees were found to have the height more than 21m. This result is similar to the result reported by Tarakeswara *et al.* (2018) in the deciduous forests of Northcentral Eastern Ghats where majority of the species had the height class 5-15m.

Abundance to frequency ratio analyzed shows that all the woody species were contiguously distributed. According to Odum (1971) contiguous distribution is common in nature and formed as a result of small but significant variations in the ambient environmental conditions. He also reported that random distribution is found in very uniform environments only and regular distribution occurs where severe competition exists between individuals. Abundance to frequency results obtained in the present study is similar to the study conducted by Sahu *et al.* (2012) in dry deciduous forest of Malyagiri hill ranges. Thakur *et al.* (2020) reported that majority of western Himalayan trees also had contiguous distribution.

The study gives an insight into the floristic composition and diversity of the heritage site of GKVK Campus and also indicates the influence of changes in land use pattern around the natural habitat. Conservation of the biodiversity is a challenge. There is a need for comprehensive understanding of the diversity and species composition of an ecosystem for conservation and management. This work will provide baseline data for future conservation and management practices in the heritage site of GKVK.

REFERENCES

AMULYA NAVEEN, B., 2012, Temporal changes in the vegetation cover of GKVK campus. Thesis (Unpub.), Univ. Agric. Sci. Bangalore.

BAJPAI, O., SUMAN, S. AND UPADHYAY, N., 2017, Ecological exploration of Kuwana forest A tropical moist deciduous forest of eastern Terai, India. *Ann. Plant Sci.*, **6**(12): 1811 - 1816.

COTTAM, G. AND CURTIS, J. T., 1956, The use of distance measurements in phytosociological sampling. *Ecol.*, **37**(3): 451 - 460.

CURTIS, J. T. AND MCINTOSH, R. P., 1951, An upland forest continuum in the prairie-forest border region of wisconsin. *Ecol.*, **31** : 476 - 496.

FATHIMA, T., BORAIHA, G. AND GOVINDU, H. C., 1974, A checklist plant's from Hebbal campus and Gandhi Krishi Vijnana Kendra. *UAS Tech. Series*, No. 2, Univ. Agric. Sci. Bangalore.

JOSHI, R. K. AND DHYANI, S., 2019, Biomass, carbon density and diversity of tree species in tropical dry deciduous forests in Central India. *Acta Ecol. Sin.*, **39** (4) : 289 - 299.

KUMAR, J. I. N., KUMAR, R. N., BHOI, R. K. AND SAJISH, P. R., 2010, Tree species diversity and soil nutrient status in three sites of tropical dry deciduous forest of western India. *Tropical Ecology.*, **51** : 273 - 279.

KOUSHIK MAJUMDAR, UMA SHANKAR AND BADAL KUMARDATTA, 2014, Trends in tree diversity and stand structure during restoration : A case study in fragmented moist Deciduous forest ecosystems of Northeast India. *J. Ecosystems*, pp. : 1 - 10.

KRISHNAMURTHY, Y. L., PRAKASHA, H. M., NANDA, A., KRISHNAPPA, M., DATTARAJA, H. S. AND SURESH, H. S., 2010, Vegetation structure and floristic composition of a tropical dry deciduous forest in Bhadra Wildlife Sanctuary, Karnataka, India. *Trop. Ecol.*, **51** (2) : 235 - 246.

LAKSHMI, U. DIVYA, GAYATHRI MOHAN, K. R., HANSA, S. SUBRAMANYA AND CHANDRAKANTH, M. G., 2015, Estimation of payment for ecosystem services of GKVK : A resource economics study. *Mysore J. Agric. Sci.*, **49** (4) : 738 - 743.

LANDE, R., 1996, Statistics and partitioning of species diversity and similarity among multiple communities. *Oikos.*, **76** : 5 - 13.

- MULLER-DOMBOIS, D. AND ELLENBERG, H., 1974, Aims and methods of vegetation ecology. *John Wiley & Sons*, pp. : 45 - 66.
- ODUM, E. P., 1971, Fundamentals of ecology (3rd ed.). W. B. Saunders and Co, Philadelphia.
- PANDA, P. C., MAHAPATRA, A. K., ACHARYA, P. K. AND DEBATA, A. K., 2013, Plant diversity in tropical deciduous forests of Eastern Ghats, India: A landscape level assessment. *Int. J. Biodivers. Conserv.*, **5** : 625 - 639.
- SAHU, S. C., DHAL, N. K. AND MOHANTY, R. C., 2012, Tree species diversity, distribution and population structure in a tropical dry deciduous forest of Malyagiri hill ranges, Eastern Ghats, India. *Trop. Ecol.*, **53** (2) : 163 - 168.
- SHANNON, C. E. AND WEAVER, W. W., 1963, The mathematical theory of communications. University of Illinois Press., *Urbana.*, pp. : 117.
- SIMPSON, E. H., 1949, Measurement of diversity. *Nature.*, **163** : 688.
- SUBHRAMANYA, S. AND NUTHAN, D., 2014, Ghandhi Krishi Vignana Kendra : A biodiversity heritage site, golden jubilee souvenir, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore.
- THAKUR, A. S., 2020, Floristic composition, life forms and biological spectrum of tropical dry deciduous forest in Sagar District, Madhya Pradesh, India. *Trop. Plant Res.*, **2** (2) : 112 - 119.
- TARAKESWARA NAIDU, M., PREMAVANI, D., SUTHARI, S. AND VENKAIAH, M., 2018, Assessment of tree diversity in tropical deciduous forests of Northcentral Eastern Ghats, India. *Geol. Ecol. Landsc.*, **2** (3) : 216 - 227.
- WHITEFORD, B. PHILLIP, 1949, Distribution of woodland plants in relation to succession and clonal growth. *Ecol.*, **30** (2) : 199 - 208.