Effect of Nitrogen Levels and Method of Fertilizer Application on Growth and Productivity of Finger Millet

M. A. SNEHA¹, MUDALAGIRIYAPPA², M. N. THIMMEGOWDA³ AND D. C. HANUMANTHAPPA⁴ ¹Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, UAS, GKVK, Bengaluru - 560 065 ²AICRP on Dryland Agriculture, ³AICRP on Agrometeorology, ⁴AICRP on Agroforestry, University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, Bengaluru - 560 065

e-Mail : snehareddy9972@gmail.com

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION

Abstract

M. A. SNEHA : Conceptualization, investigation, original draft preparation, analysis; MUDALAGIRIYAPPA : Conceptualization & framing research proposal, draft correction; M. N. THIMMEGOWDA & D. C. HANUMANTHAPPA : Conceptualization and manuscript correction

Corresponding Author : M. A. Sneha

Received : November 2023 Accepted : November 2023 A field experiment was conducted at Dryland Agriculture project, UAS, GKVK, Bengaluru during Kharif 2021 and 2022 to study the effect of nitrogen levels and method of fertilizer application on growth and productivity of finger millet. The experiment was laid out in RCBD with factorial concept and replicated thrice. The experiment comprised 18 treatments with four levels of nitrogen (A₁: No nitrogen, A₂: 50 per cent RDN, A₃: 75 per cent RDN and A₄: 100 per cent RDN) and four methods of fertilizer application (B1: Soil application of zinc, B2: Foliar application of nano nitrogen (a) 35 and 55 DAS, B.: Foliar application of nano zinc (a) 35 and 55 DAS and B.: Foliar application of nano nitrogen and nano zinc @ 35 and 55 DAS) along with two control. For all the treatments, recommended phosphorus and potassium were applied as per standard recommendations. The results revealed that application of 100 per cent RDN along with spraying of nano-N and nano-Zn twice at 35 and 55 DAS recorded significantly higher growth parameters viz., number of tillers per hill, number of leaves per hill, total dry matter production and SPAD meter readings at all the growth stages. Similarly, significantly higher grain yield (3453 kg ha⁻¹) and straw yield (5048 kg ha⁻¹) were also recorded with application of 100 per cent RDN along with spraying of nano-N and nano-Zn and was on par with the application of 75 per cent RDN with spraying of nano-N and nano Zn twice (3449 kg ha⁻¹ and 5035 kg ha⁻¹, respectively). Greater mobility of nano fertilizers led to higher nitrogen use efficiency with the application of 75 per cent RDN along with spraying of nano-N and nano-Zn. Thus, application of 75 per cent RDN with spraying of nano-N and nano-Zn was found to be useful in enhancing the productivity of finger millet .

Keywords : Finger millet, Nano nitrogen, Nano zinc, Nitrogen use efficiency, RDN

SMALL MILLETS are promoted as 'nutri-cereals' and climate smart crops because of their ability to withstand unfavorable consequences of climate change facilitating food and nutritional security for burgeoning population, especially in resourcepoor and rainfed areas (Kumar *et al.*, 2019). Among various small millets, finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L. Gaertn), commonly known as 'ragi' is the most important crop in terms of its higher production and wider adaptability. Finger millet

is referred as neutraceutical crop and has been perceived as a potential 'super cereal' by the United States National Academies being as one of the most nutritious among all the cereals. In India, 1.70 million tonnes of finger millet was produced from a total area of 1.21 million hectares with an average productivity of 1396 kg ha⁻¹ (Anonymous, 2022). In Karnataka, it occupied an area of 0.85 million hectares with a production of 1.13 million tonnes and average productivity of 1332 kg ha⁻¹ (Anonymous, 2022) indicating the lion share in finger millet production.

Finger millet is mostly raised under resource-poor conditions with minimum agronomic management in turn resulting in lower productivity (Harika et al., 2019). With the global upsurge in population and rapid urbanization, farmers across the globe are left with the daunting task of feeding more mouths every year which has prompted the large-scale use of fertilizers. To achieve higher productivity, adequate supply of nutrients through the right source could be an important approach. Nutrients are vital for maintaining and improving crop growth and yield. When nutrient application is not synchronized with crop demand, losses from the soil-plant system are large leading to lower fertilizer use efficiency. To address these problems, there is a need to explore one of the frontier technologies such as 'Nanotechnology. Nanofertilizers are new generation synthetic fertilizers which contain readily available nutrients in nano-scale range. So nano fertilizers may increase the efficiency of nutrient uptake, enhance yield and nutrient content in the edible parts and also minimize its accumulation in the soil (Pruthviraj et al., 2022).

Among the various nutrients, the physiological role of nitrogen and zinc attributes to enhanced crop productivity (Ramya *et al.*, 2020). Apart from soil applications, foliar spray of nutrients has been shown to be a practical means of replenishing the reservoir of nutrients in the leaves. Indeed supplementation of nutrients, through foliar spray could be the most efficient and appropriate strategy (Liu and Lal, 2015). So far, several researchers have found the beneficial effect of nano fertilizers on different crops, but studies on the prospects of nano fertilizers in finger millet is scarce. Hence, the present investigation was undertaken.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The field experiment was carried out for two consecutive years in 2021 and 2022 at AICRPDA, University of Agricultural Sciences, Gandhi Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Bengaluru. The soil of experimental site was red sandy clay loam in texture. The soil of experimental site was strongly acidic in reaction (4.68), the soil was low in organic carbon content (0.40%) and available nitrogen (256.08 kg ha⁻¹), high in available phosphorus (51.31 kg ha⁻¹) and medium in potassium (146.34 kg ha-1). The textural class of the soil was characterized by International pipette method as outlined by Piper, 1966. The soil pH was determined by potentiometric method (Piper, 1966), electrical conductivity using conductivity bridge (Jackson, 1973) and organic carbon was estimated using Walkley and Black wet-oxidation method (Jackson, 1973). Available nitrogen was assessed by following Alkaline permanganate method as outlined by Subbaiah and Asija (1956), available phosphorus and potassium content of the soil was quantified following Bray's colorimetry and Flame photometer method respectively outlined by Jackson (1973).

The experiment consisted of 18 treatments with four levels of nitrogen (A_1 : No nitrogen, A_2 : 50% of RDN, A₃: 75% RDN and A₄: 100% RDN) and four methods of fertilizer application (B₁: Soil application of zinc, B₂: Foliar application of nano nitrogen @ 2ml l⁻¹ at 35 and 55 DAS, B₃: Foliar application of nano zinc (a) 2ml l^{-1} at 35 and 55 DAS and B_4 : Foliar application of nano nitrogen and nano zinc @ 2ml l-1 at 35 and 55 DAS) along with two controls (control-1: PK, control-2: NPK). For all the treatments, recommended phosphorus and potassium were applied as per standard recommendation. Growth parameters like number of tillers per hill, number of leaves per hill, dry matter production per hill and SPAD meter readings of five randomly selected plants were recorded at 30, 60, 90 DAS and at harvest. The grain and straw yield obtained from each net plot area was converted to kg ha-1. Nutrient use efficiency (NUE) is a critically important concept in the evaluation of crop production systems. Nitrogen use efficiency was calculated using the formula given by Paul et al. (2015).

Results and Discussion

Effect of Nitrogen Levels and Method of Fertilizer Application on Growth Parameters

Number of Tillers Per Hill

The number of tillers per hill at different stages of growth as influenced by levels of nitrogen and

The Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences

TABLE 1
Number of tillers per hill at different growth stages of finger millet as influenced by levels of nitrogen
and methods of fertilizer application

Traatment	30 DAS	60 D 4 S	00 DAS	At homest	
	JUDAS	UU DAS	70 DAS	ALIIAIVESI	
Factor A (Nitrogen levels)	2 10	C 1 4	0.56	0.00	
$A_1 - N_0$	2.10	6.14	9.56	8.89	
$A_2 - N_{50}$	2.23	6.97	10.40	10.08	
$A_3 - N_{75}$	2.44	8.74	12.12	12.46	
A ₄ - N ₁₀₀	2.52	9.07	12.54	12.88	
F test	NS	*	*	*	
S.Em±	0.09	0.15	0.12	0.15	
CD at 5 %	-	0.42	0.34	0.43	
Factor B (Method of fertilizer application	n)				
B_1 - Soil application of Zn	2.17	6.99	10.38	10.20	
B ₂ - Nano-N	2.40	7.94	11.42	11.35	
B ₃ - Nano-Zn	2.22	7.34	10.85	10.68	
B_4^{-} - Nano-N + Nano-Zn	2.50	8.65	11.97	12.08	
F test	NS	*	*	*	
S.Em±	0.09	0.15	0.12	0.15	
CD at 5 %	-	0.42	0.34	0.43	
Interactions (A×B)					
A.B.	1.95	5.60	8.99	8.38	
$\mathbf{A}_{1}\mathbf{B}_{2}$	2.15	6.30	9.74	9.16	
$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{A}}}^{\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{A}}}$	2.13	6.11	9.61	8.76	
$\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B},\mathbf{B}$	2.16	6.55	9.91	9.24	
$\mathbf{A}_{0}\mathbf{B}_{1}$	2.14	6.76	10.03	9.50	
$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{a}}\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{a}}$	2.31	7.03	10.58	10.23	
$\mathbf{A}_{2}\mathbf{B}_{2}$	2.14	6.94	10.35	10.16	
$\mathbf{A}_{2}\mathbf{B}_{4}$	2.33	7.15	10.63	10.45	
$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{A}}$	2.28	7.52	10.88	11.30	
$\mathbf{A}_{2}\mathbf{B}_{2}$	2.55	9.20	12.66	12.92	
$\mathbf{A}_{2}\mathbf{B}_{2}$	2.28	7.81	11.32	11.42	
$A_{2}B_{4}$	2.65	10.41	13.62	14.22	
A_4B_1	2.32	8.09	11.61	11.64	
A_4B_2	2.59	9.22	12.70	13.10	
A_4B_2	2.32	8.49	12.14	12.37	
$A_{4}B_{4}$	2.86	10.50	13.72	14.39	
F test	NS	*	*	*	
S.Em±	0.19	0.29	0.23	0.30	
CD at 5 %	-	0.84	0.68	0.86	
PK (Control-1)	1.82	5 49	8.72	8.23	
	1.02	2.17	0.72	0.25	Continued.

WA

328

	Table 1 Continued					
Treatment	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At harvest		
NPK (Control-2)	2.36	8.07	11.56	11.49		
F test	NS	*	*	*		
S.Em±	0.19	0.30	0.25	0.30		
CD at 5 %	-	0.87	0.71	0.86		

methods of fertilizer application was found to vary significantly in both the years of study and presented in Table 1.

At 30 DAS, there were no significant differences for number of tillers per hill. While, at 60 DAS, 90 DAS and harvest significantly maximum number of tillers per hill was recorded with application of 100 per cent RDN (9.07, 12.54 and 12.88, respectively) and was on par with application of 75 per cent RDN (8.74, 12.12 and 12.46, respectively). Among methods of fertilizer application, foliar spray of nano-N and nano-Zn (8.65, 11.97 and 12.08) recorded greater number of tillers per hill at 60 DAS, 90 DAS and harvest, respectively followed by foliar spray of nano-N (7.94, 11.42 and 11.45, respectively). Among various interactions, application of 100 per cent RDN along with foliar application of nano-N and nano-Zn (10.50, 13.72 and 14.39) recorded maximum number of tillers and was on par with application of 75 per cent RDN along with foliar application of nano-N and nano-Zn (10.41, 13.62 and 14.22) 60 DAS, 90 DAS and at harvest, respectively.

Tillering is caused by the mother culm nutrient content in the early stage of crop growth, which provides carbohydrates and other essential nutrients for tiller production (Ishizuka and Tanaka, 1954). The number of tillers per hill increased significantly with increased nitrogen levels. Nitrogen application promotes cytokinin biosynthesis, and inhibits its degradation, thereby inducing tiller bud development (Liu *et al.*, 2011), and also affect auxin transport and strigolactone synthesis to regulate axillary bud activation (Jong *et al.*, 2014). Application of nano-N and nano-Zn enhanced tillering by improving nutrient solubility, facilitating root uptake and enabling more efficient translocation within the plant. Additionally, nano-nutrients may act as signaling molecules, triggering pathways associated with tiller initiation and development. Nano fertilizers are readily taken up by the epidermis of leaves and translocated to stems, facilitating active molecule uptake and enhancing growth (Abdel-Aziz *et al.*, 2018).

Number of Leaves Per Hill

Number of leaves per hill of finger millet was significantly influenced by the levels of nitrogen and methods of fertilizer application. The data pooled over two years are presented in Table 2.

At 30 DAS, there were no significant differences in number of leaves per hill. However, the number of leaves per hill increased significantly at 60 DAS, 90 DAS and at harvest with increasing nitrogen levels where A4 (100 % RDN) (75.75, 83.64 and 44.13, respectively) had the supremacy over all other levels of nitrogen and the lower number of leaves per hill was recorded with no application of nitrogen at all the growth stages. Among methods of fertilizer application, foliar application of nano-N and nano-Zn resulted in significantly higher number of green leaves per hill at 60 DAS (73.80), 90 DAS (82.16) and harvest (41.41) followed by foliar application of nano-N (66.40, 75.66, 37.75, respectively). Among various interactions, combined application of 100 per cent RDN along with foliar application of nano-N and nano-Zn has (91.60, 97.53 and 51.17) recorded significantly higher number of leaves at 60 DAS, 90 DAS and harvest which was on par with application of 75 per cent RDN along with foliar application of nano-N and nano-Zn (90.06, 95.55 and 50.67, respectively).

Yuvakkumar *et al.* (2011) reported that the number of green leaves increased up to 60 DAS, then decreased from 90 DAS to harvest due to

TABLE 2

Number of leaves per hill at different growth stages of finger millet as influenced by levels of nitrogen and methods of fertilizer application

		11			
Treatment	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At harvest	
Factor A (Nitrogen levels)					
$A_1 - N_0$	17.63	52.13	64.43	27.83	
$A_2 - N_{50}$	18.51	57.54	68.64	33.19	
$A_3 - N_{75}$	19.66	72.29	80.37	41.86	
$A_{4} - N_{100}$	20.18	75.75	83.64	44.13	
F test	NS	*	*	*	
S.Em±	0.67	1.80	1.66	0.80	
CD at 5 %	-	5.20	4.80	2.31	
Factor B (Method of fertilizer application	n)				
B, - Soil application of Zn	18.31	57.37	68.92	33.08	
$B_2 - Nano-N$	19.10	66.40	75.66	37.75	
$B_3 - Nano-Zn$	18.53	60.15	70.33	34.76	
B_4^{-} - Nano-N + Nano-Zn	20.05	73.80	82.16	41.41	
F test	NS	*	*	*	
S.Em±	0.67	1.80	1.66	0.80	
CD at 5 %	-	5.20	4.80	2.31	
Interactions (A×B)					
A_1B_1	17.06	48.41	62.28	25.19	
A_1B_2	17.87	53.72	65.50	28.93	
A ₁ B ₃	17.46	51.74	63.67	27.42	
A_1B_4	18.13	54.66	66.26	29.80	
A_2B_1	18.38	55.83	67.65	31.76	
A_2B_2	18.56	58.06	68.91	33.76	
A_2B_3	18.44	57.43	68.70	33.21	
A_2B_4	18.66	58.86	69.29	34.02	
$\mathbf{A}_{3}\mathbf{B}_{1}$	18.63	60.20	70.65	36.10	
A_3B_2	19.63	75.94	83.44	43.76	
$A_{3}B_{3}$	18.76	62.71	71.83	36.90	
$A_{3}B_{4}$	21.63	90.06	95.55	50.67	
A_4B_1	19.15	65.04	75.11	39.28	
A_4B_2	20.33	77.87	84.80	44.55	
A_4B_3	19.45	68.48	77.11	41.51	
A_4B_4	21.78	91.60	97.53	51.17	
F test	NS	*	*	*	
S.Em±	1.35	3.61	3.33	1.60	
CD at 5 %	-	10.42	9.61	4.63	
PK (Control-1)	15.20	47.01	57.49	23.84	
					Continued

	Table 2 Continued					
Treatment	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At harvest		
NPK (Control-2)	18.97	64.20	74.54	39.27		
F test	NS	*	*	*		
S.Em±	1.34	3.71	3.66	1.63		
CD at 5 %	-	10.65	10.51	4.70		

photosynthates transfer to reproductive regions, which resulted in senescence of older leaves. Increased nitrogen levels helped in better formation of photosynthetic apparatus and potassium caused better partitioning of photosynthates to various plants parts, this better supply of plant growth factors is positively correlated with number of leaves per hill. The significant increment in number of leaves per hill with the foliar application of nano fertilizers might be due to the fact that nano fertilizers instigated the activity of nitrate reductase, chloroplast (Hong et al. 2005) and antioxidant enzyme system (Nekrasova et al. 2011) which enhanced the growth and resulted in higher number of leaves. These findings were in accordance with those of Rathnayaka et al. (2018) who observed more number of leaves in rice with the application of nano fertilizers.

Total Dry Matter Production (g hill-1)

The data on total dry matter production (TDMP) at various growth stages revealed that TDMP was significantly influenced by levels of nitrogen and methods of fertilizer application (Table 3).

The TDMP per hill increased continuously from emergence to harvest. The rate of increase of dry matter was faster between 30 DAS to 60 DAS and thereafter the increase was slow up to harvest. The maximum TDMP at 60 DAS, 90 DAS and at harvest were observed with the application of 100 per cent RDN (21.28, 41.09 and 56.51 g hill⁻¹, respectively) and was on par with application of 75 per cent RDN (20.27, 40.30 and 55.05 g hill⁻¹, respectively) which was superior over 50 per cent RDN. Among methods of fertilizer application, foliar application of nano-N and nano-Zn recorded higher TDMP at 60 DAS (20.76 g hill⁻¹), 90 DAS (40.70 g hill⁻¹) and at harvest (53.46 g hill⁻¹) followed by foliar application of nano-N (19.20, 38.90 and 50.81 g hill⁻¹, respectively). There was significant interaction between nitrogen levels and methods of fertilizer application, among different combinations 100 per cent RDN with foliar spray of nano-N and nano-Zn recorded significantly higher dry matter production per hill at 60 DAS (24.87 g hill⁻¹), 90 DAS (44.88 g hill⁻¹) and at harvest (61.05 g hill⁻¹) which was on par with application of 75 per cent RDN + foliar application of nano-N and nano-Zn (24.23, 44.84 and 61.64 g hill⁻¹, respectively). Significantly lower dry matter production per hill was recorded with application of PK alone (control-1).

The amount of total dry matter produced is determined by the plant's photosynthetic capability, which is determined by the amount of total dry matter accumulated in the leaves. Higher plant height, larger number of leaves hill-1 and increased leaf area hill-1 resulted in the maximum dry matter production. These findings are in consistent with those of Rani et al. (2019). Better plant growth led to more dry matter accumulation in leaves and stem at early growth stages and better transfer to grain at later stages, resulting in higher total dry matter production. Similar findings were observed by Miller and Sayre (1948). Application of nano-N and nano-Zn had a significant effect on dry matter production. Treatment receiving 100 per cent RDN + foliar spray of nano-N and nano-Zn resulted in higher dry matter production at all stages of observations. Application of nano-N and nano-Zn enhanced chlorophyll and increased light interception, absorption and utilization of solar radiation thus enhanced photosynthesis which was reflected in LAI and dry matter production. Due to the higher uptake of nutrients, better source to sink

TABLE 3

Total dry matter production (g hill-1) at different growth stages of finger millet as influenced by levels of nitrogen and methods of fertilizer application

Treatment	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At harvest	
Factor A (Nitrogen levels)					
$A_1 - N_0$	2.52	15.88	35.46	40.95	
$A_2 - N_{50}$	2.62	17.53	37.15	46.51	
A ₃ - N ₇₅	2.75	20.27	40.30	55.05	
$A_4 - N_{100}$	2.80	21.28	41.09	56.51	
F test	NS	*	*	*	
S.Em±	0.06	0.51	0.55	0.51	
CD at 5 %	-	1.46	1.59	1.48	
Factor B (Method of fertilizer application)					
B_1 - Soil application of Zn	2.63	17.41	37.18	46.44	
$B_2 - Nano-N$	2.68	19.20	38.90	50.81	
$B_3 - Nano-Zn$	2.65	17.60	37.21	48.31	
$B_4 - Nano-N + Nano-Zn$	2.74	20.76	40.70	53.46	
F test	NS	*	*	*	
S.Em±	0.06	0.51	0.55	0.51	
CD at 5 %	-	1.46	1.59	1.48	
Interactions (A×B)					
A ₁ B ₁	2.49	15.58	35.15	37.10	
A_1B_2	2.54	15.94	35.57	42.94	
A_1B_3	2.51	15.75	35.33	39.83	
A_1B_4	2.55	16.27	35.78	43.95	
A_2B_1	2.59	17.44	37.05	45.36	
A_2B_2	2.63	17.50	37.18	46.60	
A_2B_3	2.61	17.54	37.10	46.88	
A_2B_4	2.66	17.66	37.30	47.18	
A_3B_1	2.68	17.69	37.56	50.83	
A_3B_2	2.74	21.41	41.17	56.17	
A_3B_3	2.72	17.77	37.60	51.55	
$A_{3}B_{4}$	2.88	24.23	44.84	61.64	
$\mathbf{A}_{4}\mathbf{B}_{1}$	2.75	18.94	38.95	52.47	
$\mathbf{A}_{4}\mathbf{B}_{2}$	2.80	21.95	41.70	57.52	
$\mathbf{A}_{4}\mathbf{B}_{3}$	2.77	19.35	38.83	54.99	
A_4B_4	2.88	24.87	44.88	61.05	
F test	NS	*	*	*	
S.Em±	0.13	1.01	1.10	1.03	
CD at 5 %	-	2.93	3.18	2.96	
PK (Control-1)	2.44	15.55	35.00	36.84	
					Continued

	Table 3 Continued					
Treatment	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At harvest		
NPK (Control-2)	2.72	18.04	38.95	52.70		
F test	NS	*	*	*		
S.Em±	0.13	1.20	1.08	1.02		
CD at 5 %	-	3.44	3.10	2.95		

relation and higher translocation of starch, dry matter production was greater with application of nano fertilizers. This finding was in conformity with Lenka and Das (2019).

SPAD Meter Readings

The data pertaining to SPAD chlorophyll meter readings of finger millet at different growth stages was significantly influenced by nitrogen levels and methods of fertilizer application (Fig. 1). The SPAD values increased from 30 DAS, reached peak at 60 DAS and thereafter decreased towards harvest.





Nitrogen levels significantly influenced the relative chlorophyll readings (SPAD) at all the growth stages except at 30 DAS. The crop fertilized with 100 per cent RDN (46.57, 43.14 and 24.00) recorded significantly greater chlorophyll readings at 60 DAS, 90 DAS and at harvest, respectively and was superior over 50 per cent RDN (40.78, 37.22 and 18.69, respectively) but on par with the application of 75 per cent RDN (45.38, 41.86 and 22.76, respectively). The relative chlorophyll meter readings at different

stages of crop growth were significantly influenced by mode of fertilizer application. At 30 DAS, 60 DAS, 90 DAS and at harvest, foliar application of nano-N and nano-Zn (45.50, 42.05 and 23.22) produced higher relative chlorophyll readings as compared to other treatments. There was significant interaction between nitrogen levels and method of fertilizer application at 60, 90 DAS and harvest of finger millet. At 60, 90 DAS and at harvest 100 per cent RDN with foliar application of nano-N and nano-Zn (50.51, 47.33 and 28.48, respectively) has recorded significantly higher SPAD value and was on par with 75 per cent RDN with foliar application of nano-N and nano-Zn (50.31, 47.20 and 27.93, respectively). Lower values were observed with application of PK only (37.27, 32.88 and 15.11, respectively).

Chlorophyll is associated with nitrogen levels and determines the final yield of crops. The higher SPAD readings obtained under higher levels of nitrogen would probably be evident from LAD values and relatively higher plant N content due to higher leaf photosynthetic area coupled with persistence of the leaf area for relatively longer period. These results are in similar line with those of Pavani et al. (2012). The maximum SPAD readings were recorded with the foliar application of nano nitrogen and nano zinc @ 35 and 55 DAS. The nano fertilizers help in capture of sunlight, facilitates manufacture of pigments, and stimulates rubisco activity which aids to improve chlorophyll Janmohammadi et al. (2016). The positive effects of zinc NPs on chlorophyll content may be due to the essential role that Zn plays in chlorophyll biosynthesis by protecting the sulfhydryl group of chlorophyll molecules (Cakmak and Horst, 1991). Thus the combination of conventional fertilizers along with foliar application of nano fertilizers led to

The Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences

TABLE 4
Grain yield, straw yield and harvest index as influenced by nitrogen levels and methods of fertilizer
application in finger millet

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	T	Gra	in yield (k	ag ha-1)	Straw yield (kg ha ⁻¹) Harvest index			Harvest index		x
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Treatment	2021	2022	Pooled	2021	2022	Pooled	2021	2022	Pooled
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Factor A									
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$A_1 - N_0$	1523	1879	1701	2128	2844	2486	0.42	0.40	0.41
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	A ₂ - N ₅₀	2041	2334	2188	2834	3620	3227	0.42	0.40	0.41
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	A ₃ - N ₇₅	2822	3152	2987	4222	4464	4343	0.40	0.41	0.41
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$A_4 - N_{100}$	2925	3213	3069	4428	4548	4488	0.40	0.41	0.40
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	F test	*	*	*	*	*	*	NS	NS	NS
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	S.Em±	54	31	32	83	54	51	0.01	0.005	0.004
$ Factor B \\ B_1 - Soil 2025 2428 2226 2999 3530 3265 0.41 0.41 0.41 0.41 application of Zn \\ B_2 - Nano N 2439 2707 2573 3509 3919 3714 0.41 0.41 0.41 0.41 \\ B_5 - Nano Zn 2185 2525 2355 3266 3735 3500 0.40 0.40 0.40 0.40 \\ B_4 - Nano N + 2662 2919 2791 3838 4292 4065 0.41 0.40 0.41 \\ Nano Zn \\ $	CD at 5 %	157	89	93	241	156	146	-	-	-
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Factor B									
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$B_1 - Soil$ application of 2	2025 Zn	2428	2226	2999	3530	3265	0.41	0.41	0.41
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	B ₂ - Nano N	2439	2707	2573	3509	3919	3714	0.41	0.41	0.41
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	B ₃ - Nano Zn	2185	2525	2355	3266	3735	3500	0.40	0.40	0.40
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	B ₄ - Nano N + Nano Zn	2662	2919	2791	3838	4292	4065	0.41	0.40	0.41
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	F test	*	*	*	*	*	*	NS	NS	NS
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	S.Em±	54	31	32	83	54	51	0.01	0.005	0.004
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	CD at 5 %	157	89	93	241	156	146	-	-	-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Interactions (A×B)									
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	A_1B_1	1362	1652	1507	1977	2673	2325	0.41	0.38	0.40
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	A_1B_2	1535	1906	1720	2143	2812	2478	0.42	0.41	0.41
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	A_1B_3	1512	1851	1681	2133	2809	2471	0.41	0.40	0.41
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	A_1B_4	1684	2109	1897	2257	3081	2669	0.43	0.41	0.42
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\mathbf{A}_{2}\mathbf{B}_{1}$	1728	2230	1979	2378	3107	2743	0.42	0.42	0.42
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	A_2B_2	2151	2329	2240	2995	3834	3414	0.42	0.38	0.40
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	A_2B_3	2061	2273	2167	2890	3594	3242	0.42	0.39	0.40
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	A_2B_4	2226	2504	2365	3072	3943	3508	0.42	0.39	0.41
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\mathbf{A}_{3}\mathbf{B}_{1}$	2415	2876	2645	3651	4051	3851	0.40	0.41	0.41
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	A_3B_2	3033	3295	3164	4448	4513	4480	0.41	0.42	0.41
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	A_3B_3	2471	2908	2689	3784	4230	4007	0.39	0.41	0.40
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	A_3B_4	3368	3531	3449	5007	5063	5035	0.40	0.41	0.41
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\mathbf{A}_{4}\mathbf{B}_{1}$	2595	2954	2775	3991	4288	4139	0.39	0.41	0.40
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	A_4B_2	3036	3296	3166	4450	4514	4482	0.41	0.42	0.41
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	A_4B_3	2699	3069	2884	4256	4308	4282	0.39	0.41	0.40
F test * * * * * * *	A_4B_4	3371	3535	3453	5015	5081	5048	0.40	0.41	0.41
	F test	*	*	*	*	*	*	-	-	-

W.

Gra	in yield (k	ag ha ⁻¹)	Strav	w yield (kg	ha ⁻¹)	H	larvest inde	x
2021	2022	Pooled	2021	2022	Pooled	2021	2022	Pooled
109	62	64	167	108	101	0.02	0.01	0.01
314	178	186	481	312	293	NS	NS	NS
1223	1632	1427	1901	2438	2169	0.39	0.40	0.39
2499	2932	2715	3957	4246	4101	0.39	0.41	0.40
*	*	*	*	*	*	NS	NS	NS
109	65	63	159	107	100	0.02	0.01	0.01
313	186	182	458	309	287	-	-	-
	Gra 2021 109 314 1223 2499 * 109 313	Grain yield (k 2021 2022 109 62 314 178 1223 1632 2499 2932 * * 109 65 313 186	Grain yield (kg ha ⁻¹) 2021 2022 Pooled 109 62 64 314 178 186 1223 1632 1427 2499 2932 2715 * * * 109 65 63 313 186 182	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline Grain yield (kg ha^{-1}) & Straw \\ \hline \hline 2021 & 2022 & Pooled & 2021 \\ \hline 109 & 62 & 64 & 167 \\ \hline 314 & 178 & 186 & 481 \\ \hline 1223 & 1632 & 1427 & 1901 \\ \hline 2499 & 2932 & 2715 & 3957 \\ \hline $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Grain yield (kg ha-1) & Straw yield (kg ha-1) \\ \hline 2021 & 2022 & Pooled & 2021 & 2022 \\ \hline 109 & 62 & 64 & 167 & 108 \\ \hline 314 & 178 & 186 & 481 & 312 \\ \hline 1223 & 1632 & 1427 & 1901 & 2438 \\ \hline 2499 & 2932 & 2715 & 3957 & 4246 \\ \hline $*$ & $*$ & $*$ & $*$ \\ \hline 109 & 65 & 63 & 159 & 107 \\ \hline 313 & 186 & 182 & 458 & 309 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline Grain yield (kg ha^{-1}) & Straw yield (kg ha^{-1}) \\ \hline 2021 & 2022 & Pooled & 2021 & 2022 & Pooled \\ \hline 109 & 62 & 64 & 167 & 108 & 101 \\ \hline 314 & 178 & 186 & 481 & 312 & 293 \\ \hline 1223 & 1632 & 1427 & 1901 & 2438 & 2169 \\ \hline 2499 & 2932 & 2715 & 3957 & 4246 & 4101 \\ \hline $*$ & $*$ & $*$ & $*$ & $*$ \\ \hline 109 & 65 & 63 & 159 & 107 & 100 \\ \hline 313 & 186 & 182 & 458 & 309 & 287 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

increase the chlorophyll readings due to the interaction among them.

Effect of Nitrogen Levels and Method of Fertilizer Application on Grain Yield, Straw Yield and Harvest Index

The data on grain and straw yield of finger millet influenced by the application of levels of nitrogen and method of fertilizer application were pooled and are presented in Table 4.

Regarding grain and straw yield, a substantial difference was observed among the treatments. The present study revealed that among different nitrogen levels, application of 100 per cent RDN resulted in significantly higher finger millet grain (3069 kg ha⁻¹) and straw yield (4488 kg ha⁻¹) but was on par with application of 75 per cent nitrogen and recommended PK (2987 and 4343 kg ha⁻¹, respectively). Among different methods of fertilizer application, foliar application of nano nitrogen and nano zinc @ 35 and 55 DAS resulted in significantly higher finger millet grain (2791 kg ha-1) and straw yield (4065 kg ha⁻¹) compared to foliar application of nano nitrogen or nano zinc individually. The interaction effect of 100 per cent nitrogen with foliar spray of nano-N and nano-Zn recorded significantly higher grain yield (3453 kg ha⁻¹) and straw yield (5048 kg ha⁻¹) and was on par with the application of 75 per cent N and recommended PK + foliar spray of nano-N and nano-Zn (3449 and 5035 kg ha-1, respectively) and other treatments in comparison. The lowest grain (1427 kg ha⁻¹) and straw yield (2169 kg ha⁻¹) were found with application of only P and K (control-1). Harvest index failed to vary significantly in response to levels of nitrogen and methods of fertilizers application.

Increased nitrogen rates from 0 to 100 per cent RDN significantly enhanced the grain and straw yield. This was mainly due to higher dry matter, leading to higher production and transportation of assimilates to fill the seeds thereby resulting in higher yield McDonald, (2002). The enhanced grain yield was mainly due to nitrogen application increasing the dry matter production, improving growth rate, promoting elongation of internodes and activity of growth hormones like gibberellins. These results are in accordance with Singh et al. (2000). The lowest grain and straw yield were recorded with application of only P and K (control-1) due to the imbalanced fertilization. The finger millet grain and straw yields were significantly higher with the foliar application of nano nitrogen and nano zinc and it was attributed to the improvement in growth parameters and test weight, ultimately leading to an increase in grain yield (Du et al., 2011).

This unique characteristic of nano fertilizers allows to regulate the release of nutrients, ensuring that crops receive correct quantity of nutrients in suitable proportion and promotes productivity of finger millet grain and straw yield. Foliar application of nano urea was ascribed to quick absorption of nutrients by the plant and easy translocation, which helps in better rates of The Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences

photosynthesis and greater accumulation of dry matter, resulting in higher straw yield. A similar trend was observed by Khalil *et al.* (2019). Conventional fertilizer along with nano fertilizer application increased the yield because nano fertilizers have a synergistic impact with conventional fertilizer to improve nutrient absorption by plant cells, resulting in optimal growth and finally yield (Jyothi and Hebsur, 2017). Similar results were reported by Benzon *et al.* (2015) and Rathnayaka *et al.* (2018).

Effect of Nitrogen Levels and Method of Fertilizer Application on Nitrogen Uptake and Nitrogen use Efficiency

Nitrogen uptake by the grain and straw of finger millet (Fig. 2) was significantly influenced by the nitrogen levels. Nitrogen uptake by finger millet under different levels of nitrogen application showed that N uptake increased with increase in levels of N application. Higher nitrogen uptake in grain (34.00 kg ha⁻¹) and straw (28.05 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded in 100 per cent RDN and was on par with 75 per cent RDN (30.62 and 26.55 kg ha-1, respectively). Similar trend was followed in total uptake. Among method of fertilizer application, foliar application of nano-N and nano-Zn has recorded higher uptake in grain (30.25 kg ha⁻¹) and straw (25.30 kg ha⁻¹) followed by the only application of nano-N (27.98 and 23.15 kg ha-1, respectively). There was significant interaction between nitrogen levels and method of fertilizer application on nitrogen uptake of finger millet at harvest. Application of 100 per cent RDN with foliar application

The Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences



Fig. 2 : Influence of nitrogen levels and methods of fertilizer application on nitrogen uptake and nitrogen use efficiency of finger millet

of nano-N and nano-Zn has recorded significantly higher nitrogen uptake in grain (38.10 kg ha⁻¹) and straw 31.55 kg ha⁻¹) and was on par with 75 per cent RDN with foliar application of nano-N and nano-Zn (35.36 and 30.72 kg ha⁻¹, respectively). Lower values were observed with application of PK only (15.27 and 13.67 kg ha⁻¹, respectively). In all the method of fertilizers application, application of 75 per cent RDN recorded higher nitrogen use efficiency compared to application of 100 per cent RDN. Combined application of 75 per cent N and recommended PK along with foliar spray of nano-N and nano-Zn recorded higher nitrogen use efficiency (91.78 kg kg⁻¹).

The higher nitrogen uptake in 100 per cent and 75 per cent RDN was attributed to better availability of nutrients that increased uptake of nutrients. These results were in conformity with the findings of Duryodhana et al. (2004), Nigade and More (2013), Saraswathi et al. (2015) and Rani et al. (2017). The higher nitrogen uptake was due to increased availability of nutrients in the crop root zone that resulted in increased absorption of the elements by the plants as well as higher dry matter production. Similar results were also reported by Vajantha et al. (2017) and Prakasha et al. (2018). Foliar application of nano nitrogen and nano zinc might have caused rapid absorption of nutrients due to larger surface area and particle size less than the pore size (5 to 50 nm) of leaves of the plant which enhanced penetration into the plant tissues from applied surface and augmented uptake of the nutrients. Further, fertilizer particles coated with nano membranes might have also facilitated slow and steady release of nutrients. The present increase in the N uptake with foliar application of nano nitrogen and nano zinc could be attributed to the synergistic effect between the nitrogen and zinc which might have aided in more enzymatic activity. The present findings were also supported by the reports of Ashoka et al. (2008), Apoorva et al. (2016).

Combined application of 75 per cent N and recommended PK along with foliar spray of nano-N and nano-Zn recorded higher nitrogen use efficiency than application of conventional fertilizers. Due to

the significantly smaller size of the nanoparticles, nano-fertilizers exhibit an increased surface area, offering more sites to enhance various metabolic process within the plant system. This results in the production of more photosynthates with less consumption of nutrients and thus direct contact of nanoparticles by foliar application improved the NUE (Manikanta et al., 2023). Full dose of RDN recorded lower nitrogen use efficiency possibly due to the loss of nitrogen through denitrification, volatilization, leaching and fixation in the soil especially NO3-N and NH4-N. Higher AEN was mainly due to more capacity of the plant to increase yield per unit nutrient uptake leading to better accumulation and conversion of N from source to sink. These results are in conformity with the findings of Hulmani et al. (2021).

Simple Linear Regression Analysis

Although correlation gives information about the nature of relationship that exists between different variables, the significance of the relation and extent is not well defined (Sanam *et al.* 2021). Hence, to quantify the extent of the contribution of different variables to dependent factor like grain yield, linear regression between an explanatory variable and an explained variable was employed. The linear regression between nitrogen uptake and yield is shown in Fig. 3. It shows that nitrogen uptake has the greatest impact on grain yield when employing different levels of nitrogen and method of fertilizer application. According to the prediction power, nitrogen accounted for 90.3 per cent of grain yield.



Fig. 3 : Simple Linear Regression relationship between yield and nitrogen uptake

Foliar spray of nano nitrogen and nano zinc proved extremely efficient in increasing growth and yield of finger millet. Application of 100 per cent RDN along with spraying of nano-N and nano-Zn increased growth parameters and was on par with application of 75 per cent RDN with spraying of nano-N and nano-Zn. The results of the study revealed that, application of 75per cent RDN along with foliar application of nano nitrogen and nano zinc at 35 DAS and 55 DAS recorded 24 per cent higher finger millet grain yield compared to RDF. Application of nutrients matching the crop growth need has increased productivity and also helped to reduce the use of conventional fertilizers. Thus, application of 75 per cent RDN with spraying of nano-N and nano-Zn @ 2ml l-1 was found to be more productive.

References

- ABDEL-AZIZ, H., HASANEEN, M. N. AND OMAR, A., 2018, Effect of foliar application of nano chitosan NPK fertilizer on the chemical composition of wheat grains. *Egyptian J. Bot.*, **58** (1) : 87 - 95.
- ANONYMOUS, 2022, Agricultural Statistics at a Glance, Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Govt. of India.
- APOORVA, M. R., RAO, P. C., PADMAJA, G. AND REDDY, R. S., 2016, Effect of various sources of zinc with particular reference to nano zinc carrier on growth and yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)., *J. Research. PJTSAU.* 44 (1/2) : 126 129.
- ASHOKA., MUDALAGIRIYAPPA, P. AND DESAI, B. K., 2008, Effect of micronutrients with or without organic manures on yield of baby corn-chickpea sequence. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, **21** (4) : 485 - 487.
- BENZON, H. R. L., RUBENECIA, R. U., ULTRA, V. U. AND LEE, S. C., 2015, Nano-fertilizer affects the growth, development and chemical properties of rice. *Int. J. Agron. Agric. Res.*, 7 (1): 105 - 117.
- CAKMAK, I. AND HORST, J., 1991, Effect of aluminum on lipid peroxidation, superoxide dismutase, catalase and peroxidase activities in root tips of soybean (*Glycine max*). *Physiol. Plantarum*, **83** : 463 - 468.

- DU, W., SUN, Y., JI, R., ZHU, J., WU, J. AND GUO, H., 2011, TiO₂ and ZnO nanoparticles negatively affect wheat growth and soil enzyme activities in agricultural soil. *J. Environ. Monit.* 13 : 822 - 828.
- DURYODHANA, D., GOWDA, G. K. T., KADALLI, G. G. AND ASHOK, E. G., 2004, Uptake pattern of major nutrients at different growth stages by dry land finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* (L) Gaertn.) from different sources and levels of nutrients. *Mysore J. Agric. Sci.*, **38** (4) : 487 - 495.
- HARIKA, J. V., MAITRA, S., SHANKAR, T., BERA, M. AND MANASA, P., 2019, Growth, yield and quality of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L. gaertn) as influenced by integrated nutrient management. *Int. J. Bioresour. Sci.*, 6 (2): 65 - 70.
- HONG, F., ZHOU, J., LIU, C., YANG, F., WU, C. AND ZHENG,
 L., 2005, Effect of nano titanium oxide on phytochemical reaction of chloroplast of spinach. *Bio Trace Element Res.*, **105** (1-3) : 269 - 279.
- HULMANI, S., SALAKINKOP, S. R. AND SOMANGOUDA, G., 2021, Productivity, nutrient use efficiency, energetic, and economics of winter maize in south India. Plos one, 17 (7): 0266886.
- ISHIZUKA, Y. AND TANAKA, A., 1954, Nutritional Physiology of Rice Plants. *Tokyo: Yokendo, Publ. Co. Ltd.* 211 - 228.
- JACKSON, M. L., 1973, Soil chemical analysis, *Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi.* pp. : 498.
- JANMOHAMMADI, M., PORNOUR, N., JAVANMARD, A. AND SABAGHNIA, N., 2016, Effects of bio-organic, conventional and nano fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of potato in cold steppe. *Bot. Lith.*, 22:133-144.
- JONG, M., GEORGE, G., ONGARO, V., WILLIAMSON, L., WILLETTS, B., LJUNG, K., MCCULLOCH, H. AND LEYSER, O., 2014, Auxin and strigo-lactone signaling are required for modulation of Arabidopsis shoot branching by nitrogen supply. *Plant Physiol.*, **166** (1): 384 - 395.
- JYOTHI, T. V. AND HEBSUR, N. S., 2017, Effect of nanofertilizers on growth and yield of selected cereals-A review. *Agric. Rev.*, **38** (2) : 112 - 120.

- KHALIL, M. H., ABOU, A. A. F., ABDRABOU, R. T. H., ABDALHALIM, S. H. AND ABDELMAABOUD, M. S. H., 2019, Response of two maize cultivars (*Zea mays* L.) to organic manure and mineral nano nitrogen fertilizer under siwa oasis conditions. AUJAS, Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, Egypt. 27 : 299 - 312.
- KUMAR, D., MAITRA, S., SHANKAR, T. AND GANESH, P., 2019, Effect of crop geometry and age of seedlings on productivity and nutrient uptake of finger millet (Eleusine coracana L. Gaertn.). *Int. J. Agric. Environ. Biotechnol.*, 12 : 267 - 272.
- LENKA, B. AND DAS, S. K., 2019, Effect of boron and zinc application on growth and productivity of potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) at alluvial soil (Entisols) of India. *Indian J. Agron.*, **64** (1) : 129 - 137.
- LIU, R. AND LAL, R., 2015, Potentials of engineered nanoparticles as fertilizers for increasing agronomic productions. Sci. Total Environ., **514** : 131 - 139.
- LIU, Y., DING, Y., WANG, Q., MENG, D. AND WANG, S., 2011, Effects of nitrogen and 6 benzylaminopurine on rice tiller bud growth and changes in endogenous hormones and nitrogen. *Crop Sci.*, **51** (2) : 786 - 792.
- MANIKANTA, B., CHANNAKESHAVA, S. AND MAMATHA, B., 2023, Effect of nitrogen, copper and zinc liquid nano fertilizers on soil properties, nutrient concentration, uptake and nutrient use efficiency of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). *Mysore J. Agric. Sci.*, **57**(1):127-138.
- MCDONALD, G. K., 2002, Effects of nitrogen fertilizer on the growth grain yield and grain protein concentration of wheat. *Australian J. Agric. Res.*, **43** (5): 949 - 967.
- MILLER, M. H. AND SAYRE, A. J., 1948, Principles of nutrient uptake from fertilizer bands, Effect of placement of nitrogen fertilizer on the uptake of band-placed phosphorus at different soil phosphorus levels. *Agron.* J. 50: 95 - 97.
- NEKRASOVA, G. F., USHAKOVA, O. S., ERMAKOV, A. E., UIMIN, M. A. AND BYZOV, V., 2011, Effects of copper (II) ions and copper oxide nanoparticles on Elodea densa plant. *Russian J. Ecol.*, **42** (6) : 458 - 463.

- NIGADE, R. D. AND MORE, S. M., 2013, Performance of finger millet varieties to different levels of fertilizer on yield and soil properties in sub-montane zone of Maharastra. *Int. J. Agric. Sci.*, **9** (1) : 256 - 259.
- PAUL, E. F., B. TOM., B. FRANK., AND G. FERNANDO., 2015, Nutrient or fertilizer use efficiency: Measurement, current situation and trends. In Chapter: 1 : 1 - 26. US : Int. Plant Nutrn. Institute.
- PAVANI, S., REKHA, K. B., BABU, S. S. AND PADMAJA, G., 2012, Effect of different levels of nitrogen and sulphur on growth and yield of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). J. Res., ANGRAU, **40** (3) : 90 - 93.
- PIPER, C. S., 1966, Soil and plant analysis. Academic press, New York, pp. : 368.
- PRAKASHA, G., KALYANA MURTHY, K. N., ROHANI METI., N., JAGADISH. AND PRATHIMA, A. S., 2018, Nutrient uptake and economics of finger Millet (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn.) under guni method of planting in eastern dry zone of Karnataka. *Int. J. Pure Appl. Biosci.*, **5** (6) : 144 - 151.
- PRUTHVIRAJ, N., GEETHA, K., PRAKASH, S., JAYADEVA, H., PUSHPA, K. AND SHANKAR, A., 2022, Impact of different methods of nano fertilizers application on soil chemical properties and fertility status in sunflower growing soils. *Mysore J. Agric. Sci.*, **56** (1) : 275 - 284.
- RAMYA, P., MAITRA, S., SHANKAR, T., ADHIKARY, R. AND PALAI, J. B., 2020, Growth and productivity of finger millet (Eleusine coracana L. Gaertn) as influenced by integrated nutrient management. *Agron. Econ.*, 7:19-24.
- RANI, B., ZALAWADIA, N. M., BUHA, D. AND RUSHANG, K., 2019, Effect of different levels of chemical and nano nitrogenous fertilizers on content and uptake of N, P, K by sorghum crop cv. *Gundari. J Pharmacogn. Phytochem.*, 8 (5): 454 458.
- RANI, Y., TRIVENI, U., PATRO, T. S. S. K. AND ANURADHA, N., 2017, Effect of nutrient management on yield and quality of finger millet (Eleusine coracana L. Garten). *Int. J. Chem. Stud.*, 5 (6) : 1211 1216.
- RATHNAYAKA, R. M. N. N., IQBAL, Y. B. AND RIFNAS, L. M., 2018, Influence of urea and nano-nitrogen fertilizers

on the growth and yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Int.* J. Res. Publ., **5** (2) : 7 - 7.

- SANAM, T., TRIVENI, S., NERELLA, S. G., NINGOJI, S. N. AND DESAI, S., 2021, Correlation and regression models of tomato yield in response to plant growth by different bacterial inoculants and inoculation methods. Agron. J., **114** (1): 452 - 60.
- SARASWATHI., VISHAWANATH SHETTY, Y., ASHWINI, M., NETHRAVATHI, B. AND VANDANA, S., 2015, Studies on feasibility and response of NPK application through different approaches in ragi under rainfed condition. *Ecoscan Int. J. Environ. Sci.*, 7: 349 - 353.
- SINGH, M. K., THAKUR, R., VERMA, U. N. AND UPASANI, R. R. 2000, Effect of planting time and nitrogen on production potential of Basmati rice (*Oryza* sativa L.) cultivars in Bihar Plateau. *Indian J. Agron.*, 45: 300 - 303.
- SUBBAIAH, B. Y. AND ASIJA, G. L., 1956, A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soils. *Curr. Sci.*, **25** : 259 - 260.
- VAJANTHA, B., SARALA, N. V., RAO, M. S., KUMAR, M. H. AND HEMALATHA, T. M., 2017, Nitrogen uptake, nitrogen use efficiency and yield of sugarcane varieties as influenced by levels of nitrogen fertilizer. *Trends Biosci.*, **10** (26) : 5528 - 5534.
- YUVAKKUMAR, R., ELANGO, V., RAJENDRAN, V., KANNAN, N. S. AND PRABU, P., 2011, Influence of nanosilica powder on the growth of maize crop (*Zea mays L.*). *Int. J. Green Nanotechnol.*, **3** (3) : 180 - 190.